



October 20, 2017

Summit Haus Properties
Rick Hodges
1779 Brome Drive
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487

Job Number: 17-10890

Subject: Subsoil and Foundation
Investigation, Proposed Residence, Lot 18,
Alpine Mountain Ranch, Routt County,
Colorado.

Dear Rick,

This report presents the results of the Subsoil and Foundation Investigation (SFI) for the proposed residence to be constructed within Lot 44 of the Alpine Mountain Ranch in Routt County, Colorado. The approximate location of the project site is shown in Figure #1.

NWCC, Inc.'s (NWCC) scope of work included obtaining data from cursory observations made at the site, logging of three test pits, sampling of the probable foundation soils and laboratory testing of the samples obtained. This report presents recommendations for economically feasible and safe type foundations, as well as allowable soil pressures and other design and construction considerations that are advisable, but not necessarily routine to quality design and building practices.

Proposed Construction: NWCC has assumed the proposed residence will consist of a one to two-story wood-framed structure constructed over a full-depth walkout basement and with an attached garage. NWCC has also assumed that the lower levels of the residence and garage will be constructed utilizing concrete slab-on-grade floor systems placed from 0 to 10 feet below the existing ground surface.

For design purposes, NWCC has assumed that building loads will be light to moderate typical of this type of residential construction. If loadings or conditions are significantly different from those above, NWCC should be notified to reevaluate recommendations in this report.

Site Conditions: The proposed residence is located southeast of the intersection of Meadow Creek Drive and Panorama Drive in the Alpine Mountain Ranch Subdivision in Routt County, Colorado. The building site was vacant at the time of our investigation and covered with 18 to 24 inches of snow. A rough driveway had been constructed from Panorama Drive to the building site. It appeared that the vegetation consisted of grasses, weeds, deciduous brush, scattered sagebrush, scrub oaks and aspen and pine trees.

The topography of the site is highly variable and the building site generally slopes moderately to strongly down to the west on the order of 5 to 15 percent. Steeper slopes, on the order of 20 to 30 percent are

located to the west of the proposed building site. A maximum elevation difference of approximately 10 to 12 feet appears to exist across the proposed building site.

Subsurface Conditions: To investigate the subsurface conditions at the site, two test pits and one profile pit were advanced on December 18, 2007 with a mini-trackhoe. The approximate test pit locations are shown in Figure #2.

The subsurface conditions encountered were highly variable and generally consisted of a layer of topsoil and organic materials overlying natural sands and clays and natural gravels, cobbles and boulders or sandstone-claystone bedrock to the maximum depth investigated, 8½ feet. Graphic logs of the exploratory test pits, along with the associated Legend and Notes, are presented in Figure #3.

A layer of topsoil and organic materials was encountered at the ground surface in all of the test pits and ranged from 12 to 24 inches in thickness. Natural sands and clays were encountered below the layer of topsoil and organic materials in all of the test pits and extended to depths of 5, 2 and 5 feet beneath the existing ground surface. The natural sands and clays were fine-grained with occasional gravels, low to moderately plastic, stiff to dense, slightly moist to moist and brown to light brown in color. A sample of the natural sands and clays classified as an SC-CL soil in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Natural gravels, cobbles and boulders were encountered below the sands and clays in test pit 2 and extended to a depth of 5 feet where practical rig refusal was encountered on a boulder. The natural gravels, cobbles and boulders were sandy to clayey, fine to coarse grained, very low to low plastic, dense to very dense, slightly moist to moist and brown to light brown in color.

Sandstone-claystone bedrock was encountered below the sands and clays in test pit 1 and the profile pit at a depth of 5 feet in each test pit. The sandstone-claystone bedrock materials extended to the maximum depth investigated in each test pit. The sandstone-claystone bedrock materials were fine-grained with occasional gravels and cobbles, moderately plastic, medium hard to hard, slightly moist to moist and brown to light brown in color. A sample of the bedrock materials classified as a CL soil in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System.

Swell-consolidation tests conducted on samples of the natural clays and claystone bedrock materials indicate that the materials tested will exhibit a low to moderate swell potential when wetted under a constant load. The swell-consolidation test results are shown in Figures #4 and #5, and all of the other laboratory test results are summarized in the attached Table 1.

Groundwater seepage was not encountered in the test pits at the time of excavation. It should be noted that the groundwater conditions at the site can be expected to fluctuate with changes in precipitation and runoff.

Foundation Recommendations: Based on the subsurface conditions encountered in the test pits, the results of the field and laboratory investigations and our assumptions regarding the proposed construction, we believe an economically feasible and safe type of foundation system is straight-shaft skin friction/end bearing piers drilled into the underlying sandstone-claystone bedrock materials. Foundation movement

(< ½ inch) should be within tolerable limits if the following design and construction precautions are observed.

- 1) A minimum pier diameter of 12 inches, a minimum bedrock penetration of 5 feet and a minimum pier length of 15 feet are recommended. A maximum pier length to diameter ratio of 25 should be used in the pier design.
- 2) The piers should be designed using allowable skin friction values of 900 psf for the overburden soils and 3,000 psf for the portion of the pier drilled in the bedrock. The upper 5 feet of the pier should be neglected in the skin-friction calculations. A drill rig of sufficient size, type and operating condition should be used so that the bottom of the piers can be cleaned out properly and the minimum length and bedrock penetration requirements can be met. Due to the presence of cobbles and boulders, difficult drilling conditions should be anticipated. If the bottom of the piers are properly cleaned and approved by an engineer from this office, then an allowable end bearing pressure of 30,000 psf may be used in the design.
- 3) The piers should be reinforced their full length with at least one #5 reinforcing rod for each 16 inches of pier perimeter.
- 4) The piers should be properly cleaned and dewatered prior to steel and concrete placement. If groundwater is encountered, casing and dewatering equipment may be required to reduce water infiltration and caving in the piers constructed at this site. The concrete should not be placed in more than 3 inches of water unless the tremie or pump methods are used.
- 5) A 4-inch void should be provided beneath grade beams to prevent the swelling soils from exerting uplift forces on the grade beams and to concentrate pier loadings. A void should also be provided beneath necessary pier caps.
- 6) We strongly recommend that at least two test holes or test piers be drilled at the building site prior to starting the pier drilling operations. The test holes/piers should be drilled to evaluate the deeper subsoil/bedrock conditions and verify the recommendations given above.
- 7) A representative of this office should observe the pier drilling operations.

Alternate Foundation Recommendations: If the owner is aware of the risks associated with placing shallow foundations on expansive soils and can tolerate and/or design for differential movements that could result if the natural clays or bedrock become wetted and swell, the structure may be supported by spread footings founded on undisturbed natural sands and clays, gravels, cobbles and boulders and/or sandstone-claystone bedrock.

The design and construction details presented below should be observed if a shallow foundation system is opted for. The precautions and recommendations itemized below will not prevent movement of the

foundations if underlying clays or claystone become wetted and swell. However, they should reduce amount of differential movement beneath the foundation system. Differential movements on the order of 1 to 3 inches could still occur if clays or bedrock materials undergo moisture changes. The owner must be willing to accept the risk of foundation movement associated with placing shallow foundations on expansive soils.

- 1) Footings placed on the natural soils or bedrock should be designed using an allowable soil bearing pressure of 3,500 psf. The footings should also be designed using a minimum dead load pressure of at least 1,200 psf.
- 2) Footings or pad sizes should be computed using the above soil pressures and placed on the natural soils or bedrock encountered below the topsoil and organic materials.
- 3) Any topsoil and organic materials or soft natural soils found beneath the footings when excavations are opened should be removed and footings extended down to competent natural soils or bedrock prior to concrete placement. Footings may have to be narrow or interrupted to maintain the minimum dead load. Foundation design should be closely checked to assure that it distributes loads per the allowable pressures given.
- 4) Foundation walls should be designed and reinforced to span an unsupported distance of 10 feet or the length between pads, whichever is greater.
- 5) Footings or pads should be placed well enough below final backfill grades to protect them from frost heave. Forty-eight (48) inches is typical for this location considering normal snow cover and other winter factors.
- 6) Based on experience, NWCC estimates total settlement for footings and pads designed and constructed as discussed in this section will be approximately 1 inch. Additional bearing capacity values along with the associated settlements are presented in Figure #6.
- 7) NWCC must be retained by the client to observe the foundation excavations when they are near completion to identify bearing soils and confirm the recommendations in this report.

Floor Slabs: NWCC has assumed the lower levels of the residence and attached garage will be constructed with concrete slab-on-grade floor systems, placed from 0 to 10 feet below the existing ground surface. On-site soils and bedrock, with the exception of the topsoil and organic materials, are capable of supporting slab-on-grade construction. However, floor slabs present a very difficult problem where swelling materials are present near floor slab elevation because sufficient dead load cannot be imposed on them to resist the uplift pressure generated when the materials are wetted and expand. Based on the moisture-volume change characteristics of the natural soils and bedrock encountered at this site, NWCC believes slab-on-grade construction may be used, provided the risk of distress resulting from slab movement is recognized and special design precautions are followed.

The following measures must be taken to reduce damage, which could result from movement should the underslab soils or bedrock be subjected to moisture changes.

- 1) Floor slabs must be separated from all bearing walls, columns and their foundation supports with a positive slip joint. NWCC recommends the use of ½-inch thick cellotex or impregnated felt.
- 2) Interior non-bearing partition walls resting on the floor slabs must be provided with a slip joint, preferably at the bottom, so in the event the floor slab moves this movement is not transmitted to the upper structure. This detail is also important for wallboard and doorframes and is shown in Figure #7.
- 3) A minimum 6-inch gravel layer must be provided beneath all floor slabs to act as a capillary break and to help distribute pressures. Prior to placing the gravel, excavation should be shaped so that if water does get under the slab, it will flow to the low point of the excavation. In addition, all of the topsoil and organic materials should be removed prior to placement of the underslab gravels or new structural fill materials.
- 4) Floor slabs must be provided with control joints placed a maximum of 12 feet on center in each direction to help control shrinkage cracking. Locations of the joints should be carefully checked to assure that natural, unavoidable cracking will be controlled. Depth of the control joints should be a minimum of ¼ the thickness of the slab.
- 5) Underslab soils must be kept as close as possible to their in-situ moisture content. Excessive wetting or drying of these soils prior to placement of floor slab could result in differential movement after slabs are constructed.
- 6) It has been NWCC's experience that the risk of floor slab movement can be reduced by removing at least 3 feet of the expansive materials and replacing them with a well compacted, non-expansive fill. If this is done or if fills are required to bring underslab areas to the desired grade, the fill should consist of non-expansive, granular materials. Fill should be uniformly placed and compacted in 6 to 8 inch lifts to at least 95% of the maximum standard Proctor density at or near the optimum moisture content, as determined by ASTM D-698.

Following the above precautions and recommendations will not prevent floor slab movement in the event the soils or bedrock beneath the floor slabs undergo moisture changes. However, they should reduce the amount of damage if such movement occurs. The only way to eliminate the risk of all floor slab movement is to construct a structural floor over a well-vented crawl space or void form materials.

Underdrain System: Any floor levels or crawl space areas constructed below the existing or finished ground surfaces and the foundations should be protected by underdrain systems to help reduce the problems associated with surface and subsurface drainage during high runoff periods.

Localized perched water or runoff can infiltrate the lower levels of the structure at the foundation levels. This water can be one of the primary causes of differential foundation and slab movement. Especially, when expansive soils are encountered. Excessive moisture in crawl space areas or lower level can also lead to rotting and mildewing of wooden structural members and the formation of mold and mold spores. Formation of mold and mold spores could have detrimental effects on the air quality in these areas, which in turn can lead to potential adverse health effects.

Drains should be located around entire perimeter of the lower levels and be placed and at least 12 inches below any floor slab or crawl space levels and at least 6 inches below the foundation voids and bottom of the foundation walls or footings. NWCC recommends the use of perforated PVC pipe for the drainpipe, which meets or exceeds ASTM D-3034/SDR 35 requirements, to minimize potential for pipe crushing during backfill operations. Holes in the drainpipe should be oriented down between 4 o'clock and 8 o'clock to promote rapid runoff of water. Drainpipe should be surrounded with at least 12 inches of free draining gravel and should be protected from contamination by a filter covering of Mirafi 140N subsurface drainage fabric or an equivalent product. Drain should have a minimum slope of 1/8 inch per foot and be daylighted at positive outfalls protected from freezing, or be led to sumps from which water can be pumped. The use of interior laterals, multiple daylights or sumps will likely be required for the proposed structure. Caution should be taken when backfilling so as not to damage or disturb the installed underdrain. NWCC recommends the drainage system include a cleanout every 100 feet, be protected against intrusion by animals at outfalls and be tested prior to backfilling. NWCC also recommends the client retain our firm to observe the underdrain systems during construction to verify that they are being installed in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and observe a flow test prior to backfilling the system.

In addition, NWCC recommends an impervious barrier be constructed to keep water from infiltrating through the voided areas and/or under footings and/or foundation walls. Barrier should be constructed of an impervious material, which is approved by this office and placed below the perimeter drain and up against the sides of the foundation walls. A typical perimeter/underdrain detail is shown in Figure #8.

Placement of an impervious membrane and/or properly compacted clays in crawl space areas to the top of the footings or at least 12 inches above the top of the foundation voids or bottom of the foundation walls should help reduce the moisture problems in these areas.

Foundation Walls and Retaining Structures: Foundation walls and retaining structures, which are laterally supported and can be expected to undergo only a moderate amount of deflection, may be designed for a lateral earth pressure computed on the basis of an equivalent fluid unit weight of 45 pcf for imported, free draining granular backfill and 60 pcf for on-site materials.

Cantilevered retaining structures at the site can be expected to deflect sufficiently to mobilize full active earth pressure condition. Therefore, cantilevered structures may be designed for a lateral earth pressure computed on the basis of an equivalent fluid unit weight of 35 pcf for imported, free draining granular backfill and 50 pcf for on-site materials.

Foundation walls and retaining structures should be designed for appropriate hydrostatic and surcharge pressures such as adjacent buildings, traffic and construction materials. An upward sloping backfill and/or natural slope will also significantly increase earth pressures on foundation walls and retaining structures and the structural engineer should carefully evaluate these additional lateral loads when designing foundation and retaining walls.

Lateral resistance of retaining wall foundations placed on undisturbed natural soils at the site will be a combination of sliding resistance of the footings on the foundation materials and passive pressure against the sides of footings. Sliding friction can be taken as 0.4 times the vertical dead load. Passive pressure against the sides of the footing can be calculated using an equivalent fluid pressure of 250 pcf. Fill placed against the sides of footings to resist lateral loads should be compacted to at least 100% of the maximum standard Proctor density and near the optimum moisture content.

NWCC recommends imported granular soils for backfilling foundation walls and retaining structures because their use results in lower lateral earth pressures. Imported granular materials should be placed to within 2 to 3 feet of the ground surface. Imported granular soils should be free draining and have less than 7 percent passing the No. 200 sieve. Granular soils placed behind foundation and retaining walls should be sloped from the base of the wall at an angle of at least 45 degrees from the vertical. The upper 2 to 3 feet of fill should be a relatively impervious soil or pavement structure to prevent surface water infiltration into the backfill.

Wall backfill should be carefully placed in uniform lifts and compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum standard Proctor density and near the optimum moisture content. Care should be taken not to overcompact backfill since this could cause excessive lateral pressure on the walls. Some settlement of deep foundation wall backfill materials will occur even if materials are placed correctly.

Surface Drainage: Proper surface drainage at this site is of paramount importance for minimizing infiltration of surface drainage into wall backfill and bearing soils, which could result in increased wall pressures, differential foundation and slab movement. The following drainage precautions should be observed during construction and at all times after the structures have been completed:

- 1) Ground surface surrounding structures should be sloped (minimum of 1.0 inch per foot) to drain away from structures in all directions to a minimum of 10 feet. Ponding must be avoided. If necessary, raising top of foundation walls to achieve a better surface grade is advisable.
- 2) Non-structural backfill placed around structures should be compacted to at least 95% of the maximum standard Proctor density at or near the optimum moisture content in order to minimize future settlement of the fill. Backfill should be placed immediately after the braced foundation walls are able to structurally support the fill. Puddling or sluicing must be avoided.
- 3) Top 2 to 3 feet of soil placed within 10 feet of foundations should be impervious in nature to minimize infiltration of surface water into wall backfill.

- 4) Roof downspouts and drains should discharge well beyond the limits of all backfill. Roof overhangs, which project two to three feet beyond foundation walls, should be considered if gutters are not used.
- 5) Landscaping, which requires excessive watering and lawn sprinkler heads, should be located a minimum of 10 feet from the foundation walls of the structures.
- 6) Plastic membranes should not be used to cover ground surface adjacent to foundation walls.

Site Grading: The slopes on which the proposed structures and driveway are proposed could become unstable as a result of the proposed construction. Design and construction considerations must be addressed to avoid and/or limit the potential for slope instability at the site. Although a detailed slope stability analysis is beyond the scope of this report, some general guidelines are provided below for initial planning and design. Our office should review the construction plans as they are being prepared so that we can verify that our recommendations are being properly incorporated into the plans. Additional recommendations and/or investigations may be warranted to provide additional information for the design and construction of temporary or permanent shoring and slope stabilization structures. Slope reinforcement should be designed and constructed by engineers and contractors experienced in earth retention systems.

- 1) Slopes greater than 25 percent should be avoided whenever possible for construction of permanent roads, structures and On-site Wastewater Systems (OWS).
- 2) Temporary cuts for foundation construction should be constructed to OSHA standards for temporary excavations. Permanent, unretained cuts for driveways or building sites should be kept as shallow as possible and should not exceed a 3(Horizontal) to 1(Vertical) configuration in the topsoil and organic materials and a 2(Horizontal) to 1(Vertical) configuration in the overburden soils or bedrock. We recommend these cuts be limited to 10 feet in height or less unless stable bedrock is encountered. The risk of slope instability will be significantly increased if groundwater seepage is encountered in the cuts. NWCC office should be notified immediately to evaluate the site, if seepage is encountered or deeper cuts are planned and determine if additional investigations and/or stabilization measures are warranted.
- 3) Excavating during periods of low runoff at the site can reduce potential slope instability during excavation. Excavations should not be attempted during the spring or early summer when seasonal runoff and groundwater levels are typically high.
- 4) Fills up to 15 feet in height can be constructed at the site and should be constructed to a 2(Horizontal) to 1(Vertical) or flatter configuration. The fill areas should be prepared by stripping any existing fill materials and topsoil and organics, scarification and compaction to at least 95% of the maximum standard Proctor density and within 2% of optimum moisture content as determined by ASTM D698. The fills should be properly benched/keyed into the natural hillsides after the

existing fill materials, natural topsoil and organic materials, silts and clays have been removed. The fill materials should consist of the on-site soils (exclusive of topsoil, organics or silts) and be uniformly placed and compacted in 6 to 8 inch loose lifts to the minimum density value and moisture content range indicated above.

- 5) Proper surface drainage features should be provided around all permanent cuts and fills and steep natural slopes to direct surface runoff away from these areas. Cuts, fills and other stripped areas should be protected against erosion by revegetation or other methods. Areas of concentrated drainage should be avoided and may require the use of riprap for erosion control.
- 6) A qualified engineer experienced in this area should prepare site grading and drainage plans. The contractor must provide a construction sequencing plan for excavation, wall construction and bracing and backfilling for the steeper and more sensitive portions of the site prior to starting the excavations or construction.

Limitations: The recommendations provided in this report are based on the soils and bedrock materials encountered at this site and NWCC's understanding of the proposed construction. NWCC believes this information gives a high degree of reliability for anticipating behavior of the proposed structures; however, NWCC's recommendations are professional opinions and cannot control nature, nor can they assure the soils profiles beneath those or adjacent to those observed. No warranties expressed or implied are given on the content of this report.

Swelling soils and bedrock materials were encountered at this site. These soils and bedrock materials are stable at their natural moisture content but can shrink or swell with changes in moisture. The behavior of swelling soils/bedrock is not fully understood. The swell or consolidation potential of any particular site can change erratically both in lateral and vertical extent. Moisture changes also occur erratically, resulting in conditions, which cannot always be predicted. Recommendations presented in this report are based on the current state of the art for foundations and floor slabs on swelling soils/bedrock. As noted previously, the owner must be made aware there is a risk in construction on these types of soil. Performance of the structure will depend on following the recommendations and in proper maintenance after construction is complete. As water is the main cause for volume change in the soils, it is necessary that the changes in moisture content be kept to a minimum. This requires judicious irrigation and providing positive surface drainage away from the structures. Any distress noted in the structures should be brought to the attention of NWCC.

This report is based on the investigation at the described site and on specific anticipated construction as stated herein. If either of these conditions is changed, the results would also most likely change. Therefore, NWCC strongly recommends that our firm be contacted prior to finalizing the construction plans so that we can verify our recommendations are being properly incorporated into the construction plans. Man-made or natural changes in the conditions of a property can also occur over a period of time. In addition, changes in requirements due to state of the art knowledge and/or legislation do from time to time occur. As a result, the findings of this report may become invalid due to these changes. Therefore, this report is subject to review and not considered valid after a period of 3 years or if conditions as stated above are

altered. It is the responsibility of the owner or his representative to insure that the information in this report is incorporated into the plans and/or specifications and construction of the project.

If you have any questions regarding this report or if NWCC may be of further service, please do not hesitate to contact us.


Sincerely,
NWCC, Inc.

Timothy S. Travis, P.E.
Senior Project Engineer


Reviewed by Brian D. Len, P.E.
Principal Engineer



cc: Jamie Curcio



NOT TO SCALE



Title: VICINITY MAP


Date: 10/17/17

Job Name: Proposed Residence

Job No. 17-10890


Location: Lot 18, Alpine Mountain Ranch, Routt County, CO


Figure #1






NOT TO SCALE



Title: SITE PLAN-LOCATION OF TEST PITS	Date: 10/17/17	 North West Colorado Consultants, Inc. Geotechnical / Environmental Engineering - Materials Testing ©10/219-7888 - Fax ©10/219-7881 2553 Copper Ridge Drive - P.O. Box 715226 Steamboat Springs, Colorado 80417
Job Name: Proposed Residence	Job No. 17-10890	
Location: Lot 18, Alpine Mountain Ranch, Routt County, CO	Figure #2	



LEGEND:



TOPSOIL AND ORGANICS.



SANDS AND CLAYS: Fine-grained with occasional gravels, low to moderately plastic, stiff to dense, slightly moist to moist and brown to light brown in color.



GRAVELS, COBBLES AND BOULDERS: Sandy to clayey, fine to coarse grained, very low to low plastic, dense to very dense, slightly moist to moist and brown to light brown in color.



SANDSTONE-CLAYSTONE BEDROCK: Fine-grained with occasional gravels and cobbles, moderately plastic, medium hard to hard, slightly moist to moist and brown to light brown in color.




Hand Drive Sample-California Liner.




Indicates depth of practical rig refusal on a boulder.

NOTES:

- 1) Test pits were excavated on December 18, 2007 with a Bobcat 337 mini-trackhoe.
- 2) Test pit locations were staked by the client.
- 3) Elevations of the test pits were not measured and the logs are drawn to the depths investigated.
- 4) The lines between materials shown on the test pit logs represent the approximate boundaries between material types and transitions may be gradual.

Title: LOGS, LEGEND AND NOTES	Date: 10/17/17	
Job Name: Proposed Residence	Job No. 17-10890	
Location: Lot 18, Alpine Mountain Ranch, Routt County, CO	Figure #3	

(970) 879-7888 • Fax (970) 879-7891
2583 Copper Ridge Drive • P.O. Box 115226
Steamboat Springs, Colorado 80417



Title: **SWELL-CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS**


Date: **10/17/17**


Job Name: **Proposed Residence**

Job No. **17-10890**

Location: **Lot 18, Alpine Mountain Ranch, Routt County, CO**

Figure **#4**





Title: SWELL-CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS

Date: 10/17/17


Job Name: Proposed Residence

Job No. 17-10890

Location: Lot 18, Alpine Mountain Ranch, Routt County, CO

Figure #5





Note: These values are based on footing widths of 1 to 4 feet. If the footing width is to be greater than 4 feet in width, then we should be notified to re-evaluate these recommendations.

Title: **BEARING CAPACITY CHART**


Date: **10/17/17**


Job Name: **Proposed Residence**

Job No. **17-10890**

Location: **Lot 18, Alpine Mountain Ranch, Routt County, CO**

Figure **#6**





Title: **HUNG PARTITION WALL DETAIL**

Date: **10/17/17**


Job Name: **Proposed Residence**

Job No. **17-10890**


Location: **Lot 18, Alpine Mountain Ranch, Routt County, CO**

Figure **#7**





Crawlspace Area



Lower Level with Floor Slab

Title: PERIMETER/UNDERDRAIN DETAIL

Date: 10/17/17

Job Name: Proposed Residence

Job No. 17-10890

Location: Lot 18, Alpine Mountain Ranch, Routt County, CO

Figure #8



NWCC, Inc.

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SAMPLE LOCATION		NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	NATURAL DRY DENSITY (pcf)	ATTERBERG LIMITS		GRADATION		PERCENT PASSING No. 200 SIEVE	UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (psf)	SOIL or BEDROCK DESCRIPTION	UNIFIED SOIL CLASS.
TEST PIT	DEPTH (feet)			LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	GRAVEL (%)	SAND (%)				
1	4	10.7	100.8	31	17	0	49	51		Sand and Clay	SC-CL
1	8	18.1	111.8	43	27	0	43	57		Very Sandy Claystone	CL