

From: [Andy Rossi](#)
To: [Alan Goldich](#); [Scott Cowman](#); gdromero@mcwater.org
Cc: [Lyn Halliday](#); [Emily Lowell](#)
Subject: RE: Tailwaters Water Quality Plan
Date: Wednesday, May 22, 2024 2:48:33 PM

Alan,

I had a chance to read the wq report a little closer this week and I have a few more comments. The first comment is that some of the data that is cited in the report lacks adequate reference/attribution of source when presented in the report. For example, the Yampa River mean annual flow data included in Table 4 is reported as 50 MGD (93 cfs). This number is 5 MGD higher than the same data called out in the paragraph immediately preceding Table 4, where the mean annual discharge is reported as 45 MGD (83 cfs). Neither of these values agree with the data from the published data from the USGS stream gage for the inflow to Stagecoach Reservoir where the data indicate that the mean annual stream flow is closer to 37 MGD (68 cfs, 1989-2023). The relative contribution of the main stem of the Yampa River is still the majority of the source water. However, rough handling of data usually results in rough conclusions. I would like to see the source(s) of the data included in each table and chart cited/indicated. This will allow for better review and comparison of the important parts of the wq report. There may not be any great shift in the report's findings and statements, but I'm not sure I fully trust the methodology without better documentation.

Second, the UYWCD would like to see the details of the post-construction monitoring included in the report. Will the post construction testing continue every 3 months for an initial period? The UYWCD requests the opportunity to review the post construction monitoring plan before it is accepted by Routt County.

Third, the UYWCD would like to see an official letter from MCWSD that states the MCWSD agrees with the findings of this report as it pertains to the effects of the additional loading to the existing system and resulting effluent discharged to Stagecoach Reservoir. I believe that Geovanny more or less provided this in his email response to your request for review of the report. Having a formal letter in the project record will simplify project management and maintenance in the future.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this matter,

Andy Rossi

General Manager

Upper Yampa Water Conservancy District

Office: 970-871-1035 | 2220 Curve Plaza Ste. 201 | POB 775529 Steamboat Springs, CO 80477



From: Alan Goldich <agoldich@co.routt.co.us>

Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2024 9:16 AM

To: Scott Cowman <scowman@co.routt.co.us>; gdromero@mcwater.org; Andy Rossi <arossi@upperyampawater.com>

Subject: Tailwaters Water Quality Plan

Good morning. I am reviewing the water quality plan for the Tailwaters development. A condition

requiring the submittal of this plan was suggested by Bob Woodmansee (MC Metro District Board member) and was accepted by both Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners. I have reviewed and made notes of discrepancies between the report and the submitted engineer plans, mainly the proximity of disturbance to Little Morrison Creek. I do not have the technical expertise to know what to look for in this document. Could you please review the report (attached) and provide comments on it? You can access all of the submitted documents at this [link](#). Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Alan Goldich
Routt County Planning
970-879-2704
136 6th St., Suite 200
Steamboat Springs, CO 80477
Agoldich@co.routt.co.us



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication is confidential and intended solely for use by the recipient(s). If you are not the recipient, understand that any disclosure or distribution of the contents is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived.