STAGECOACH MOUNTAIN RANCH

CONCEPTUAL DRAINAGE STUDY

Prepared for:

Kyle Collins Discovery Land Company 528 Montauk Highway, PO Box 865 East Quogue, New York 11942

Prepared by:

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 2 N Nevada Ave, Suite 900 Colorado Springs, CO 80903

Project #: 196778000

Prepared: December 2024



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CERT | IFICATION STATEMENT | 2 |
|----------------|---|----------------|
| SCOF | PE AND PURPOSE | 3 |
| I. IN | NTRODUCTION | 3 |
| А. В. | LOCATION DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY | 3 3 |
| II. | DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA | 5 |
| А. В. С. | Criteria Reference Hydrologic Criteria Hydraulic Criteria | 5 6 6 |
| 111. | DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS | 9 |
| А. В. | Existing Major Basin Description Proposed Major Basin Description | 9 10 |
| IV. | PROPOSED DRAINAGE PLAN | 11 |
| А. В. С. | PROPOSED DRAINAGE PLAN SUMMARY Surface Water Conveyance Detention and Water Quality | 11 12 12 |
| V. | NWCCOG REGIONAL WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN COMPLIANCE | 13 |
| VI. | CONCLUSION | 15 |
| REFE | RENCES | 16 |

Appendix A – General Maps

Appendix B – Hydrologic Calculations

Appendix C – Hydraulic Calculations

Appendix D – Drainage Maps Appendix E – Water Quality BMP Examples

Kimley»Horn

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I hereby affirm that this Conceptual Drainage Study for the preliminary design of Stagecoach Mountain Ranch was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) for the owners thereof and is, to the best of my knowledge, in accordance with the provisions of the Routt County Drainage Criteria which references the City of Steamboat Springs Drainage Criteria and approved variances. I understand that Routt County does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others.

Brice Hammersland, PE State of Colorado No. 56012

Kimley »Horn

SCOPE AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this Conceptual Drainage Study (the "Report") is to support the Preliminary Plan Application by outlining the preliminary drainage design for the proposed Stagecoach Mountain Ranch ("SMR") Project (the "Project"). This Report presents an overview for the proposed drainage infrastructure design to be constructed as part of the Project. The drainage design has been informed by the current drainage criteria set forth by Routt County, the City of Steamboat Springs Engineering Standards Manual and from the Mile High Flood District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Location

The SMR Project will be separated into several phases. The general Project location is southwest of Stagecoach State Park and Reservoir, approximately 16 miles south of Steamboat Springs (the "Site") within unincorporated Routt County, Colorado (see **Appendix A** for a Vicinity Map). This Conceptual Drainage Study outlines the preliminary drainage design of all phases of the Project.

Final drainage studies and associated final stormwater infrastructure design will be completed for each individual phase and filing during subsequent final subdivision applications.

B. Description of the Project

SMR consists of a master planned residential community having 613 residential homes to be constructed on a portion of approximately 5,059 acres of privately-owned property in the Stagecoach area. An additional component of the development is the recreational amenities that will be offered to the residents of SMR. The SMR plan also proposes development of public amenities and services for the Stagecoach community such as a public neighborhood commercial center, recreational trails and parks, housing, as well as upgrades to roads and infrastructure.

Implementing this plan is expected to be a major contributor to the fiscal health of South Routt County, replacing lost property tax base and jobs as the area transitions from the coal-based economy that has been the primary economic driver for the past 100 years. The plan respects and incorporates land use directives of the 2017 Stagecoach Community Plan and 2022 Routt County Master Plan and provides an economically viable framework for the Stagecoach community to realize the goals and objectives for this Tier 2 growth area within Routt County.

SMR consists of the existing Stagecoach ski mountain property and Stetson Ranch property. These parcels are currently zoned Commercial (C), Planned Unit Development (PUD), High Density Residential (HDR), and Agricultural / Forestry (AF). Two parcels associated with the mountain property totaling approximately 2.14 acres are zoned PUD, which permits the construction of 10,000 square feet of commercial space, and a gas station. Two other parcels on the mountain property totaling approximately 16.75

acres are zoned Commercial which is approved for the construction of a 13,300 sqft ski lodge with a 178 space parking lot, and the existing maintenance facilities for the existing private ski mountain, respectively. The area zoned HDR represents 14.7 acres of the ski mountain property, which permits residential development at one dwelling unit per 3,000 sq. ft. of land area. The balance of the mountain properties, approximately 4,134 acres, is zoned Agricultural / Forestry, which permits residential development at one dwelling unit per 35 acres. The potential residential development yield, under these existing zoning designations is 640 dwelling units.

The total area of the Stetson Ranch property is approximately 891 acres, which is all zoned AF. The 652 acres located south of County Road 14 is the subject to an existing conservation easement held by the Colorado Cattlemen's Agricultural Land Trust, leaving the 239 acres located north of Couty Road 14 for further development, which represents an additional 7 residential dwelling units.

Property taxes from the project will generate significant revenue for Routt County and other local agencies providing additional funding for these agencies to provide a higher level of services to the community residents. At full build out, the project alone is anticipated to generate \$33 million in annual property tax revenue, roughly 1.2 times the County's current property tax revenue of \$28 million.

The following describes the proposed land use mix for the project:

Residential Development

A thorough site analysis was conducted to have the attributes of the land inform where development should be located. Routt County values rural character and agricultural land uses and encourages conservation of large acreage through their Land Preservation Subdivision (LPS) regulations. In response, residential development plan for Stagecoach Mountain Ranch voluntarily proposes creating 67 single-family 5 to 7 acre lots in two LPS subdivisions, resulting in 1,383 acres in remainder parcels. The remaining 546 homes will be a mix of single detached homes, duplex, multi-family townhomes and condominiums all discreetly placed on the subject properties to manage the impacts and be compatible with the existing Routt character. All the development located on the Ski Mountain Property will be served by the Morrison Creek Metropolitan Water and Sanitation District (MCMWSD). Approximately 99% of the residential development is anticipated to be located on the mountain property and 1% at Stetson Ranch property.

Of the total developable area of 4,407 acres, excluding the existing 652-acre conservation easement located in Stetson, approximately 3,285 acres or 75% will be considered open space consisting of both active and passive uses.

In 2024, Routt County created a Unified Development Code (UDC). Section 3.21 defines the requirement for essential housing and employee housing. SMR's plan exceeds the requirements by providing, 95 essential dwelling units where 90 units are required and housing for 90 employees where only providing housing of 85 employees is required. This results in a total of 137 workforce housing units being provided, and when combined with the 613 housing units proposed, a total of 750 dwelling units will be constructed.

Residential Amenities

SMR will offer a variety of recreational activities for its residents that will be owned and operated by the homeowner's association. These activities are expected to include Nordic and alpine skiing, trails for hiking, biking, and horseback riding, racquet sports, a fitness center with swimming pool, and other recreational amenities, as well as accessory support facilities including a ski lodge and maintenance support facilities. SMR will also continue to maintain agricultural operations on a large portion of the Stetson Ranch property.

The expansion of the existing ski mountain is the primary recreational facilities. Currently, the existing private ski mountain operates under an existing Special Use Permit (SUP) 94-228. Since the SUP was first permitted, the mountain property holdings have increased, and the proposal plan is to provide additional ski lifts, terrain, and snowmaking as well as enhanced lodging and other amenities to the ski mountain.

The development plan seeks to create a forward-thinking model for residential and recreational development with a focus on sustainability, conservation, wildlife protection, and protection of sky lines and night skies.

C. Description of the Property

According to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), the Site consists of various soil types with Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) classifications ranging from HSG A to HSG D. HSG D was conservatively utilized for all hydrologic calculations for the Site. A Custom Soil Resource Report from the NRCS Web Soil Survey website for the Site is included in **Appendix B**.

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panels 08107C1050D, 08107C1075D, 08107C1225D, and 08107C1250D for Routt County and incorporated areas (effective February 4, 2005), the majority of the Project is located within Zone X, which is classified as areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual change floodplain. There is a portion of the Project located within regulatory floodplain (Zone A) associated with Yampa River and its tributaries. The FEMA FIRM for the Project area is included in **Appendix A**.

II. DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

A. Criteria Reference

Routt County has limited drainage criteria and defers to the City of Steamboat Springs and Mile High Flood District (MHFD) for their drainage criteria; therefore, Chapter 5 of the City of Steamboat Springs Engineering Standards Manual (ESM) and the MHFD Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual (USDCM) were used as a reference and guide for applicable criteria for this Project.

B. Hydrologic Criteria

Runoff Method

The Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure (CUHP) and the EPA Stormwater Management Model (EPA-SWMM) (version 5.2.4) were used for hydrologic analysis to estimate the peak runoff discharge and characteristics of each basin.

Supporting hydrologic calculations for the existing and proposed analysis of the Site are included in **Appendix B**.

Rainfall and Storm Frequencies

The 1-hour rainfall depths used for the hydrologic analysis of the Site were obtained from NOAA Atlas 14 for the Site. The following storm frequencies and rainfall depths were used throughout the hydrologic analysis:

- Minor Storm Event: 5-year: 0.68 inches
- Major Storm Event: 100-year: 1.42 inches

For the hydrograph analysis, the recommended 2-hour design storm duration generated by CUHP was utilized within the EPA-SWMM. Peak discharges for the 5-year and 100year storm events were analyzed using CUHP to generate hydrographs for each subbasin. Hydrographs for the sub-basins were routed using EPA-SWMM to determine peak discharge rates at select design points. Snowmelt runoff impacts were not evaluated as part of this report.

Runoff Coefficients/Imperviousness

The imperviousness and associated runoff coefficient were calculated for each subbasin utilizing the Steamboat Springs ESM. The imperviousness and composite runoff coefficients are calculated for each subbasin based on HSG D soils and utilizing Tables 5.6.1 and 5.6.3 from the Steamboat Springs ESM.

C. Hydraulic Criteria

Street Capacity

The majority of the proposed roadways are anticipated to have roadway edge conditions with no curb and gutter due to the topographic constraints within the mountainous terrain. Where curb and gutter is to be installed, street/gutter capacity will be designed with inlets and culverts spaced such that the stormwater does not exceed 6-inches at the gutter flow line for the minor event and does not exceed the allowable spread as described in the Steamboat Springs ESM (Sections 5.7.6 and 5.8.3) for the major event.

For arterial and collector roadways:

- At least one (1) 12-foot lane of traffic remains open in each direction during the major event.
- The major storm shall not encroach upon any drive lane.

Kimley » Horn

For local roadways, primary commercial, and multifamily access drives:

- Stormwater will not exceed 12-inches at the gutter flow line during the major event.
- The major storm will not inundate the outside edge of the outside drive lane by more than 6 inches.

Street/gutter capacity will be evaluated utilizing MHFD-Inlet with the Final Drainage Report.

Roadside Swales

Most of the proposed roadways are anticipated to have roadside swales. Roadside swales will be designed to convey the minor storm event (5-year) within the swale, meet street capacity criteria for the 100-year storm event, and meet applicable criteria as outlined in the Steamboat Springs ESM (Section 5.7.6):

- Maximum longitudinal slopes driven by a maximum allowable Froude number of 0.80 and a maximum allowable velocity of seven (7) feet per second (fps).
- Side slopes shall be no steeper than 2H:1V.
- Flow depth shall not be greater than 3-feet.
- Minimum velocity of two (2) fps

Due to the natural steep topography within the site ditch slopes will exceed 3% which will increase the erosion potential at these locations. Ditch checks will be proposed at these locations as a method to slow down the ditch flow velocities and prevent unnecessary erosion.

Roadside swales will be evaluated utilizing Bentley's Flowmaster with the Final Drainage Report.

Culverts

Existing and proposed culverts will be analyzed utilizing the procedures outlined in the Steamboat Springs ESM (Section 5.10). All culverts will be sized to maintain velocities between two (2) fps and fifteen (15) fps. The minimum culvert size placed in a public drainageway is 18-inches. Private culverts will have a minimum culvert size of 8-inches. Additionally several bridges will be proposed specifically where roadways cross wetlands and streams. A detailed analysis of the bridges will be completed during final design.

For arterial and collector roadways:

- The major storm event will be used to design culvert crossings.
- The major storm shall not cause headwater at any culvert to encroach on any drive lane.
- HW/D for the major storm shall not exceed 1.5.

For local roadways, primary commercial, and multifamily access drives:

• The minor storm event will be used to design culvert crossings (unless the local roadway is the only road providing access to an area, in which case the major storm event will be used).

- The minor storm shall not inundate the outside edge of the outside drive lane by more than 6-inches.
- HW/D for the minor storm shall not exceed 1.5.

Culverts will be analyzed utilizing the Federal Highway Administration's (FWA) HY-8 culvert hydraulic program with the Final Drainage Report.

Storm Sewer System

The proposed storm sewer system will be designed as outlined in the Steamboat Springs ESM (Section 5.9). The proposed storm sewer system will be designed with inlets and culverts to capture and convey the 5-year storm event without surcharging. A minimum pipe size of 12-inches will be utilized. The storm sewer system must maintain velocities between two (2) fps and ten (10) fps. Manhole spacing throughout the Project will be such that pipes less than or equal to 24-inches will not exceed 300 feet and for pipes greater than 24-inches the manhole spacing will not exceed 400 feet.

Bentley StormCAD will be used to size the proposed storm sewer system throughout the Project. Hydraulic calculations for the storm sewer system will be provided with the Final Drainage Report.

Detention

Detention will be provided such that peak flows from the developed basins are less than or equal to pre-development flows for the 5-year and 100-year storm events as outlined in Section 5.11 of the Steamboat Springs ESM. SWMM was utilized to analyze the increased runoff from the proposed improvements for the project. Preliminary locations of detention ponds are shown on the proposed drainage maps provided in **Appendix D**. Preliminary detention volume required is provided in **Appendix C**. Refer to section IV.C.in this report for additional information regarding detention.

Water Quality

Water quality will be implemented holistically throughout the project site per Steamboat Springs ESM. The Project will utilize Best Management Practices ("BMPs") to mitigate the increase in stormwater runoff pollutant loads resulting from the development.

Examples of potential BMPs to be utilized and sized with the Final Drainage Report are provided in **Appendix E.**

BMPs will also be used to control site runoff during construction utilizing temporary control measures such as silt fence, vehicle tracking control, check dams, and inlet/outlet protection. The placement and design of the temporary control measures will be provided with the Final Drainage Report. Refer to section IV.C.in this report for additional information regarding the water quality approach.

III. DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS

A. Existing Major Basin Description

Existing storm runoff within the Site generally flows down the mountain slope to the Yampa River and Stagecoach Reservoir.

Existing major drainage basins were delineated based on existing drainageways that convey flow down the mountain toward the Yampa River and Stagecoach Reservoir. Each major drainage basin was delineated into sub-basins based on existing roadway crossings. Topographic information obtained from Lidar was utilized to delineate each subbasin.

Appendix B includes hydrologic calculations for the existing sub-basins. **Appendix D** includes the existing drainage maps.

Major Basin 100

Basin 100 consists of a total of 1,743 acres at 2.5% impervious in the existing condition. Basin 100 is subdivided into four (4) sub-basins based on existing roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 100 is conveyed north to south via Jack Creek and its tributaries and eventually discharges directly to the Yampa River.

Major Basin 200

Basin 200 consists of a total of approximately 2,683 acres at 2.3% impervious in the existing condition. Basin 200 is subdivided into seven (7) sub-basins based on existing roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 200 is conveyed south to north via Raspberry Creek and its tributaries and eventually discharges directly to the Yampa River.

Major Basin 300

Basin 300 consists of a total of 709 acres at 8.9% impervious in the existing condition. Basin 300 is subdivided into twelve (12) sub-basins based on existing roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 300 is conveyed south to north via Middle Creek and its tributaries and eventually discharges directly to the Stagecoach Reservoir.

Major Basin 400

Basin 400 consists of a total of 2,285 acres at 6.0% impervious in the existing condition. Basin 400 is subdivided into twenty (20) sub-basins based on existing roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 400 is conveyed south to north via natural drainageways and eventually discharges directly to the Stagecoach Reservoir.

Major Basin 500

Basin 500 consists of a total of 526 acres at 6.5% impervious in the existing condition. Basin 500 is subdivided into five (5) sub-basins based on existing roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 500 is conveyed south to north via natural drainageways and discharges to Little Morrison Creek, where runoff eventually discharges to the Stagecoach Reservoir.

Major Basin 600

Basin 600 consists of a total of 359 acres at 2.1% impervious in the existing condition. Basin 600 includes one (1) sub-basin based on existing roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 600 is conveyed south to north via natural drainageways and discharges to Little Morrison Creek, where runoff eventually discharges to the Stagecoach Reservoir.

Major Basin 700

Basin 700 consists of a total of 1,520 acres at 2.3% impervious in the existing condition. Basin 700 is subdivided into two (2) sub-basins based on existing roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 700 is conveyed west to east via Whipple Creek and its tributaries and eventually discharges to the Yampa River.

Major Basin 800

Basin 800 consists of a total of 6,621 acres at 2.4% impervious in the existing condition. Basin 800 is subdivided into six (6) sub-basins based on existing roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 800 is conveyed west to east via natural drainageways and eventually discharges to the Yampa River.

B. Proposed Major Basin Description

The proposed major drainage basins are the same as the existing drainage basins. The only change in the proposed hydrology is the imperviousness that is increased due to the development and the delineation of subbasins based on the proposed roadways within the Project limits.

Appendix B includes hydrologic calculations for the proposed sub-basins. **Appendix D** includes the proposed drainage maps.

Major Basin 100

Basin 100 consists of a total of 1,743 acres at 3.1% impervious in the proposed condition. Basin 100 is subdivided into four (4) sub-basins based on existing and proposed roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 100 is conveyed north to south via Jack Creek and its tributaries and eventually discharges directly to the Yampa River.

Major Basin 200

Basin 200 consists of a total of 2,683 acres at 5.3% impervious in the proposed condition. Basin 200 is subdivided into nine (9) sub-basins based on existing and proposed roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 200 is conveyed south to north via Raspberry Creek and its tributaries and eventually discharges directly to the Yampa River.

Major Basin 300

Basin 300 consists of a total of 709 acres at 8.9% impervious in the proposed condition. Basin 300 is subdivided into twelve (12) sub-basins based on existing and proposed roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 300 is conveyed south to north via Middle Creek and its tributaries and eventually discharges directly to the Stagecoach Reservoir.

Kimley »Horn

Major Basin 400

Basin 400 consists of a total of 2,285 acres at 9.7% impervious in the proposed condition. Basin 400 is subdivided into twenty-three (23) sub-basins based on existing and proposed roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 400 is conveyed south to north via natural drainageways and eventually discharges directly to the Stagecoach Reservoir.

Major Basin 500

Basin 500 consists of a total of 526 acres at 13.9% impervious in the proposed condition. Basin 500 is subdivided into seven (7) sub-basins based on existing and proposed roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 500 is conveyed south to north via natural drainageways and discharges to Little Morrison Creek, where runoff eventually discharges to the Stagecoach Reservoir.

Major Basin 600

Basin 600 consists of a total of 359 acres at 2.8% impervious in the proposed condition. Basin 600 is subdivided into one (1) sub-basin based on existing and proposed roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 600 is conveyed south to north via natural drainageways and discharges to Little Morrison Creek, where runoff eventually discharges to the Stagecoach Reservoir.

Major Basin 700

Basin 700 consists of a total of 1,520 acres at 4.9% impervious in the proposed condition. Basin 700 is subdivided into eight (8) sub-basins based on existing and proposed roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 700 is conveyed west to east via Whipple Creek and its tributaries and eventually discharges to the Yampa River.

Major Basin 800

Basin 800 consists of a total of 6,621 acres at 2.8% impervious in the proposed condition. Basin 800 is subdivided into eight (8) sub-basins based on existing and proposed roadway crossings. Runoff within Basin 800 is conveyed west to east via natural drainageways and eventually discharges to the Yampa River.

IV. PROPOSED DRAINAGE PLAN

A. Proposed Drainage Plan Summary

The overall SMR project will provide and incorporate full spectrum detention ponds and enhanced grass swales/channels, as well as grass lined roadside ditches to safely convey surface water runoff and promote water quality. Detention ponds will be placed throughout the project to collect and store excess stormwater, helping to mitigate the impact of increased runoff. These ponds will allow for gradual release of the storm water, reducing the risk of flooding downstream. Additionally, the Project will implement enhanced grass swales and channels, which are designed to slow down and filter stormwater runoff, promoting water quality. The swales and channels will be grass lined, which will act as a natural filter, removing pollutants and sediments from the water as it

Kimley »Horn

flows through the grass. Similarly, the grass lined roadside ditches will further aid in filtering runoff and improving water quality throughout the project. In areas with steep slopes or that are adjacent to creeks, it may not be possible to direct runoff to a detention pond or grass line swales/channels. For these areas, enhancements to the soils and vegetation may be required to manage runoff prior to discharging into creeks, drainageways, and the reservoir. This may include enhancing the existing plantings and re-working the surface to promote infiltration and water quality in these areas

B. Surface Water Conveyance

The conveyance of the runoff from the proposed internal roadways will be conveyed with grass lined swales/ditches and where Froude numbers are greater than 0.8, ditch checks will be implemented into the swales/ditches to assist with reducing velocities and stabilizing the swales/channels. Where flows will be concentrated and cross under roadways within the SMR project via culverts, erosion mitigation measures will be implemented on the downstream end to assist with slowing the runoff. Erosion mitigation measures such as soil riprap protection, low water stilling basins, and level spreaders will be utilized to slow runoff especially at areas with high velocities and erosive forces such as on the downstream side of culverts. These mitigation measures will be designed with the Final Drainage Report.

C. Detention and Water Quality

Detention ponds will be placed throughout the project, generally downstream of higher impervious areas and located on flatter terrain areas. As previously mentioned, the site was analyzed for both the 5-year and 100-year storm events and each basin area (i.e. basins 100's, 200's, 300's, etc.) was analyzed using SWMM. The inflow volumes at specific design points were compared between the existing condition and proposed condition models to determine preliminary storage volumes needed to reduce the runoff for each basin to pre-development release rates. Refer to **Appendix C** for the preliminary calculations for the required pond volumes for each basin area.

Water quality BMPs will be implemented throughout the site to provide a holistic water quality approach to the site to ensure sufficient water quality treatment is provided. The water quality capture volume (WQCV) was determined for each basin area utilizing the percent impervious for each of the basin areas and adding that to the required pond storage area.

In areas where full spectrum detention ponds are unable to be implemented to assist with treating water quality, additional water quality measures will be utilized to promote water quality treatment. Potential water quality measures to be utilized in these areas include but are not limited to: water quality ponds, rain gardens, enhanced grass swales/channels, terraced filter strips, vegetated filter strips, and permeable pavement. Refer to **Appendix C** for preliminary calculations for required WQCV for each basin area.

Final locations and sizing of detention ponds and water quality BMPs will be determined with the Final Drainage Report.

V. NWCCOG REGIONAL WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN COMPLIANCE

The Project is not located within any specific watershed plan associated with the Northwest Colorado Council of Governments (NWCCOG) water quality management plan ("208 Plan"); however, the Project is subject to the policies outlined in Volume 1 of the 208 Plan. Compliance with these six (6) polices are outlined below:

Policy 1. Protect and Enhance Water Quality

The surface and ground waters of the region shall be protected to minimize degradation of existing water quality and maintain existing and designated uses of those waters; waters not currently supporting designated uses shall be restored as soon as is financially and technically feasible.

Policy 1 is being addressed by the implementation of the proposed water quality features on site. Water quality BMPs will be strategically implemented throughout the site providing a holistic water quality approach to the site to ensure sufficient water quality treatment is provided. Final design of water quality facilities will be detailed in the Final Drainage Report and Final Construction Documents at the time of Final Subdivision application.

Policy 2. Water Use and Development

The project developer shall mitigate the impacts to water quality and the aquatic environment caused by water supply projects.

The SMR development is not a surface water supply project. Domestic water supply will be provided by the adjacent water and sewer district via existing wells and underground water distribution mains.

Policy 3. Land Use and Disturbance

Water quality, including wetlands, floodplains, shorelines and riparian areas, must be protected from land use and development so that significant degradation of water quality is prevented.

50-ft wetland buffers will be respected with all planned development with the only exception being roadway crossings of existing wetlands. To the extent practical, raised crossing including open bottomed box culverts or traditional bridges will be used to reduce wetland impacts at these locations.

All proposed disturbance will be located outside regulatory floodplain. Sufficient erosion control will be implemented during construction adjacent to these areas to ensure protection.

Snow storage requirements include storage area for 30% of area to be plowed on individual lots. Snow storage area of 50-ft x 120-ft for every 400 LF of roadway will be provided. Runoff from snow storage areas will be directed through a detention or infiltration facility or other best management practice that removes pollutants, including vegetated areas.

Kimley »Horn

Maintaining Hydrological Characteristics

Developers should maintain the hydrological characteristics of the development site similar to pre-development conditions. Drainage plans should be designed and implemented, including calculation of storm runoff volumes and velocities (before and after development), using accepted hydrologic calculation procedures.

Historic drainage patterns will be maintained with the proposed development. The calculation of stormwater runoff volumes and velocities are documented in the Preliminary Drainage Report. Final drainage design for the development will follow Routt County Engineering standards and latest MHFD criteria.

Minimizing Impervious Surfaces

Development should minimize impervious surfaces and break up large connected impervious areas.

Connected impervious areas are limited to proposed roadway infrastructure. All roads are planned to be paved with asphalt with roadside drainage swales.

Stormwater Discharges

Stormwater discharges should not result in any significant increase in total pollutant loads and should not result in the direct discharge of stormwater to a waterbody or drainage way. Efforts should be taken to practice "green infrastructure."

The implementation of the proposed WQ features on site will address the potential increase in total pollutant loads prior to discharging to historic outfall points and drainageways.

Mountain Driveways

Design and maintenance of mountain driveways will follow the "Mountain Driveway Best Management Practices", prepared for the Colorado Nonpoint Source Task Force, 1999.

The implementation of the 5 step process outlined in the "Mountain Driveway Best Management Practices will be used as part of the sites driveway designs to mitigate the erosion potential at all proposed driveways.

Policy 4. Domestic, Municipal, and Industrial Water/Wastewater Treatment Facilities

Decisions to locate water supplies, wastewater treatment systems, and other water and wastewater facilities shall be made in a manner which protects water quality and the aquatic environment. Where growth and development require the need for additional facility capacity, existing facilities should be expanded instead of developing new facilities, unless expansion is not feasible because of technical, legal or political reasons.

Domestic water supply and wastewater treatment is anticipated to be provided by the adjacent Morrison Creek Metropolitan Water and Sewer District. Expansion of their district boundary and infrastructure is planned to serve the development. Construction of new wastewater treatment facilities is not proposed as part of the project. Construction of additional domestic water supply wells will be planned in conjunction with MCMWSD and will be located outside of all County required water body setbacks.

Only 7 of the planned residential units will utilize individual private domestic wells and septic fields for wastewater treatment.

Policy 5. Chemical Management

The uses of pesticides, fertilizers, algaecides, road deicing and friction materials, and other chemicals which would temporarily or permanently cause a significant degradation of water quality or impair the current or designated uses of these waters should be regulated to the extent allowed by law in a manner that minimizes potential for degradation of water quality.

Chemical management will be implemented as part of the future O&M plan for the Site. Impacts of any chemical use will be mitigated by the implementation of the proposed water quality features on site.

Policy 6. Management System

Management agencies are designated to best reflect their legal and jurisdictional authorities. The waters of the region shall be protected by a management agency structure within the existing governmental and regulatory framework that allows decisions to be made at the most appropriate level of control. For nonpoint source pollution control the recommended level of management is at the watershed level.

The proposed development will be analyzed to ensure all water quality requirements are being met. Compliance with all water quality requirements will be documented in the drainage report. The drainage report will be reviewed and approved by the proper management authority prior to construction. The primary management agencies and authorities have jurisdiction include Routt County, USACE, and FEMA.

VI. CONCLUSION

The drainage facilities for the Stagecoach Mountain Ranch Project have been preliminarily designed to conform to the current drainage criteria set forth by the County Standards, City of Steamboat Springs Engineering Standards Manual, and the Mile High Flood District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual.

Based on the preliminary analysis, the SMR project aims to manage peak flows from the development from the developed basins to pre-development levels for both 5-year and 100-year storm events as much as possible. To address the increase in stormwater runoff and pollutant loads resulting from the development, the project will include detention ponds, enhanced grass swales/channels, grass-lined roadside swales, rain gardens, permeable pavement, and other BMPs identified in the Final Drainage Report for each phase of the development. The detention ponds will help collect and store excess stormwater, ensuring gradual release and reducing the risk of downstream flooding. Water quality BMPs will slow down and filter stormwater runoff, improving water quality by removing pollutants and sediments. These measures are intended to minimize the negative impacts of increased runoff and ensure that the development maintains a high standard of water quality.

REFERENCES

<u>Custom Soil Resource Report</u>, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. March 2024.

Engineering Standards Manual - Chapter 5, City of Steamboat Springs, Revised July 2019.

<u>Flood</u> Insurance Rate Map, Panel Number 08107C1050D for Routt County and Incorporated Areas, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Effective February 4, 2005.

Flood Insurance Rate Map, Panel Number 08107C1075D for Routt County and Incorporated Areas, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Effective February 4, 2005.

Flood Insurance Rate Map, Panel Number 08107C1225D for Routt County and Incorporated Areas, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Effective February 4, 2005.

Flood Insurance Rate Map, Panel Number 08107C1250D for Routt County and Incorporated Areas, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Effective February 4, 2005.

<u>Model Water Quality Protection Standards for Local Governments</u>, Northwest Colorado Council of Governments (NWCCOG), June 2018.

<u>Mountain Driveway Best Management Practices Manual</u>, prepared by Wright Water Engineers, Inc. and Denver Regional Council of Governments, June 1999.

Nutrient Loading Report for Stagecoach Mountain Ranch, P.W. Grosser Consulting Engineer, Inc., June 2024.

<u>Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1-3</u>, Mile High Flood District, latest revision.

<u>208 Regional Water Quality Management Plan</u>, Northwest Colorado Council of Governments (NWCCOG), 2012.

APPENDIX A – GENERAL MAPS



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Rood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood heard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Road Elevation** (BFB) and/or **Roadways** have been determined, uses are ancouraged to consult on the second second

Coastal Base Flood Elevation (BFEB) shown on this map spoly only land-ward of 0.0" North American Vertical Datum (MAVD). Users of this FIRM should be avere that coastal flood develorism any also be provided in the Summary of Sillwater Elevations table in the Rood Insurance Study report for this community. Evations alsown in the Summary of Sillwater Elevations table ahould be used for construction, and/or floodplaim management purposes when they are higher than the elevation alsown on the RIMA.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Rood Interarce Program. Roodway widths and other partiment floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Bourdyreport for this jurisdiction.

Certain sreas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 2.4 "Rood Protection Measures" of the Rood Insurance Study report for intermation on flood control structures in this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map is Universal Tranverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal detam is NADAS, QR43804 the provide the CHM of the state provide projections and the state provide the CHM of the state provide the control of the state and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of the FIRM.

Rod elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Varical Datum of 1988. These flood devations must be compared to attructure and ground elevations referenced to the same wertfaid advance. For information regarding conversion between the National Geoded Varical Datum of 1829 and the North American Versical Datum of 1988, well the National Geoder Survey websits at verw.rugs.nas.gov or contact the National Geoders.

Spatial Reference System Division National Geodetic Survey, NOAA Silver Spring Metro Center 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 (301) 713-3191

roussin current sevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at [301] 713-3242, or visit their website at www.ngs.nosa.gov.

Base map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by Routt County GIS Department.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to ennexisions or de-annexitions may have occurred after this map was published, map uses should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Nap Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Latting of Communities table containing Relotand Rodo Insurance Reprism dates for such community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community al located.

An accompanying Flood Insurance Study report, Letters of Map Revision or Letters of Map Amendment revising portions of this panel, and digital versions of this PANEL may be available. Contact the FRIMA Map Service Center at the following phone numbers and Internet address for information on all related products available from FEMA.

Phone: 800-358-9616 FAX: 800-358-9620 www.fema.gov/msc

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-338-2627) or visit the FEMA website st www.fema.gov.

This map reflects more detailed and uncledues totam channel configurations than those abows on the previous FRM for the jurisdiction. The floodbalks and floodways threas transferred from the previous FRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Rod Khells and Rodway Data bable in the Rodo Insurance Study report may reflect stream channel distinces that differ from what is shown on binning.



This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The **community map repository** should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevation (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Rood Profiles and Roodway Data tables contained within the Rood Insur-ance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM reports flood ad within blood alevation. These tables the shown on the FIRM reports flood ad within the Rood Insur-date should be used to the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of table source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FISA should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevation (BFEs) shown on this map apply only land-ward of 0.0" North American Vertical Datum (NAVD). Users of this FIRM should be aver that coastal flood elevations may also be provided in the Summary of Süllwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this community. Bevations aboven in the Summary of Süllwater Elevations table about be used for construction, and/or floogiesmagement purposes when they are higher than the elevations aboven on the FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Rood Insurance Porgram. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for big unisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures in this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map is Universal Tranverse Marcator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum is NAD83, GRS1980 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of the FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geoderic Vertical Datum of 1923 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1986, visit the National Geodetic Survey within gladverse.

Spatial Reference System Division National Geodetic Survey, NOAA Silver Spring Metro Center 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 (301) 713-3191

tain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench Io optian current erevation, description, ana/or/iocation information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242, or visit their website at www.ngs.nosa.gov.

Base map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by Routt County GIS Department.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was publiched, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the leyout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Liscing of Communities table containing National Rood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

An accompanying Flood Insurance Study report, Letters of Map Revision or Letters of Map Amendment revising portions of this panel, and digital versions of this PANEL may be available. Contact the FEMA Map Service Canters at the following phone numbers and Internet address for infomation on all related products available from FEMA;

Phone: 800-358-9616 FAX: 800-358-9620 www.fema.gov/msc

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at www.fema.gov.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM than yeave been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Rood Profiles and Picodway Data tables in the Picod Insurance Study report may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map.



Note: Panel 1250 Not Printed via FEMA - Zone X

7

12

40°15′0

BROKEN -TALON WAY

BROKEN TALON TRAIL

SNOWBIF

344000 M

9

OINS PANEL 12

10

347000 M

111

12

| LEGEND | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| SPECIAL | | | | | |
| The 1% annual chance flo | % ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD EVENT of (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood | | | | |
| that has a 1% chance of being equaled or spreaded in any given year. The Special Rood Harard Add Haras the sees subject to field here a spread of the set | | | | | |
| Hood Lievation is the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. ZONE A No base flood elevations datermined. ZONE A Dece developmente | | | | | |
| ZONE AE Base flood el | EAE Bese flood elevations determined. EAH Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); base floo elevations determined. | | | | |
| elevations de ZONE AO Flood depth average dep | elevations determined. Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities | | | | |
| aise determin ZONEAR Area of sp | everage cepurs occermined. For areas or alluvial fan flooding, velocities siec cistermined. AR Area of special flood hazard formerly protected from the 1% annual | | | | |
| chance floo decertified. being resto | chance flood event by a flood control system that was subsequently descrittined. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood event. | | | | |
| greater flood event. ZONE AS9 Area to be protocold from 1% annual chance flood event by a Federal flood protocold and the second seco | | | | | |
| flood protect determined. | tion system under construction; no base flood elevations | | | | |
| ZONE V Coastal floo elevations de ZONE VE Coastal floo | termined. | | | | |
| determined. | | | | | |
| The floodway is the channel | AY AREAS IN ZONE AE | | | | |
| kept free of encroachment substantial increases in flood | so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without heights. | | | | |
| OTHER FL | OOD AREAS | | | | |
| ZONE X Areas of 0. with averag 1 square m | 2% annual chands nood; sreas of 1% annual chance nood e depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than ile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance | | | | |
| OTHER AL | REAS | | | | |
| ZONEX Areas determ | nined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain. | | | | |
| ZONE D Areas in whi | ch flood hazards are undetermined, but possible. | | | | |
| COASTAL | BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS | | | | |
| CBRS areas and OPAs are not | SE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs) melly located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas | | | | |
| Sund a des and OPAs are nor | Floodplain boundary | | | | |
| | Floodway boundary Zone D boundary | | | | |
| | CBRS and OPA boundary | | | | |
| | Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or velocities. | | | | |
| | Base Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet* | | | | |
| ৰেন্দ্ৰ ভৱস্য *Referenced to the North Am | elevation in feet* erican Vertical Datum of 1988 | | | | |
| AA | Cross Section Line | | | | |
| 23 | Transect Line Geographic poordinates referenced to the North American | | | | |
| 97°07′30″, 32°22′30″ 4276000M | Datum of 1983 (NAD 83) 1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid values, zone 13 | | | | |
| 600000 FT | 5000-foot grid ticks | | | | |
| $DX5510 \times$ | Bench mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of this FIRM panel). | | | | |
| • M1.5 River Mile | | | | | |
| o M1.5 | | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map | | | | |
| ⊚ M1.5 Re | er to Repository er to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IV DATEISIO OF REVISIONISTI TO HIS PANEL | | | | |
| ● M1.5 Re EFFECT | MAP REPOSITORY ierto Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(B) OF REVISION(B) TO THIS PANEL | | | | |
| ● M1.5 Re | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2006 IVE DATE(6) OF REVISION(6) TO THIS PANEL | | | | |
| ● M1.5 Re | MAP PEPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLODD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(6) OF REVISION(6) TO THIS PANEL | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map History table located in th | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(IS) OF REVISION(IS) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mesping, refer to the Community reflood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map History table located in t To determine if flood inaux | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(8) OF REVISION(8) TO THIS PANEL bistory prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community reford insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. ance is available in this community, contact your insurance insurance study report for this jurisdiction. | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT May History table located in th To determine if flood insur agent or call the National Floo | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURACE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL Nationy prior to sountywide mapping, refer to the Community to Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. ance is available in this community, context your insurance insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT Nep History table located in ti To determine if flood ineus agent or call the National Pioc | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURACE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL Net of the Community of the Community to Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. An es is available in this community, contact your insurance insurance Reguest 1500, 530-540. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map History table located in th To determine if flood insur- agent or call the National Roo | MAP REPOSITORY er to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURACE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(6) OF REVISION(6) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community ner is available in the community, contact your Insurance d Insurance Rogram at (800) 838-8820. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' 0 200 200 | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map Hitary table located in the To determine a Hoad insu To determine a Hoad insu To determine a Hoad insu | MAP REPOSITORY er to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURACE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(8) OF REVISION(8) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community re Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. amoe is available in this community, context your insurance direurance Program at (800) 638-6520. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' 0 | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map Hittory table located in the To determine # fload. Insu agent or call the National Floo eco | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(8) OF REVISION(8) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community ner Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisedicton. manoe is available in this community, context your Insurance d Insurance Rogers at (600) 638-6620. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' 0 | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Mep History table located in H To determine A flood insur agent or call the National Floo | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIGN OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community in Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' 0 | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT Map history table located in th To determine if flood insur agent or call the National Floo | MAP REPOSITORY ler to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIR) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community inflood management history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community a flood management to book management MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' 0 000 1200 METERS 0 000 1200 PANEL 1075D | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT May history table located in th To determine if flood insur agent or call the National Floo | MAP REPOSITORY ierto Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FEDOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIED OF REVISION(6) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community inford may and the total state of the Community inford may and the total state of the Community inford may and the Community, context your insurance insurance Frogram at (800 638-6620. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' 0 <u>900</u> 1200 METERS 0 <u>900</u> 1200 FIRENS EL DOD INSULD BANDEE DATE SHAD | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT Map History table located in D To determine if flood insur- agent or call the National Floo | MAP REPOSITORY er to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL INITIAL STATES AND A STATES INITIAL STATES AND A STATES INITIAL STATES MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' 0 | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT Map Hatory table located in 10 To determine if flood insur agent or call the National Proc 000 | MAP REPOSITORY (er to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(6) OF REVISION(6) TO THIS PANEL Index and the second study report for this jurisdiction. Index and the second study report for this jurisdiction. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' <u> 0 000 1000000000000000000000000000000</u> | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map History table located in th To determine if flood insur- agent or call the National Proc 000 | MAP PEPOSITORY ler to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(8) OF REVISION(8) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community nere is available in the community, contact your Insurance d insurance Study report for this Judiéction. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' 0 | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map History table located in th To determine if flood lease agent or call the National Roo 000 | MAP REPOSITORY let to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(8) OF REVISION(8) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community area is available in the community, contact your insurance o <u>600</u> 1200 HIT PANEL 1075D HIT PANEL 1075D HIT PANEL 1075D HIT COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map History table located into To determine a Model Insu agent or call the National Pico 800 | MAP REPOSITORY let to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(8) OF REVISION(8) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community the Hood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. mapping is available in this community, contact your Insurance direurance Program at (800) 638-6520. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' 0 000 1000 0 000 1000 0 000 1000 METERS 0 000 1000 METERS 0 000 1000 METERS 0 000 1000 0 000 1000 METERS 0 000 1000 0 000 1000 0 000 000 0 000 000 0 000 000 0 000 00 | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map Hitory table located in the To determine a fload insu- agent or call the National Floo 000 | MAP REPOSITORY ter to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBURANY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIGN OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdictor. MAP SCALE 1' = 2000' 0 | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map Hitcry table located in the To determine a freed inex. 1000- | MAP REPOSITORY fer to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLODD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIR) OF REVISION(6) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community we have a savailable in this community, context your insurance area is available in this community, context your insurance discussed in this community, context your insurance area is available in this community, context your insurance ROUTT COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS PANEL 1075 OF 1475 ISEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT LORMARY, JAMBEL PANEL SUFEX | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map History table located in the To determine a fload inex. 1000- 000 | MAP REPOSITORY arto Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIR) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL whistory prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community whistory prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community are low available in this community, context your insurance directments and the community, context your insurance REFERSE PANEL 1075D OF 1475 ISEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUTH COMMUNITY, JAIMBER 2005 FIRM PANEL PANEL PANEL FIRME 2005 FIRME 2005 FIRM PANEL PANEL PANEL COMMUNITY, JAIMBER 2005 FIRME PANEL FIRME 2005 FIRME 2005 FIRME PANEL FIRME 2005 FIRME 2005 FIRME PANEL PANEL PANEL FIRME PANEL PANEL PANEL PANEL PANEL PANEL FIRME 2005 FIR | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Mep Hittory table located in HT agent or call the National Floo 000 | MAP REPOSITORY into Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBULARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIRO OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community microsoft for the second study sport for this justification. marks a wallable in this community, context your insurance discurance Regen at (800) 838-8620. MAP SCALE 17 = 2000' <u>0</u> <u>2000</u> <u>4000 0 <u>2000</u> <u>1000</u> MAP SCALE 17 = 2000' <u>0</u> <u>1000</u> MAP SCALE 17 = 2000' <u>0</u> <u>1000</u> MAP SCALE 1075 DF <u>1000</u> INSURANCE RATE MAP ROUTT COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS PANEL 1075 OF 1475 ISEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT COMMINT: MARKE PANEL SUFFX POINTCOMPY.</u> | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Mep Hitory table located in HT agent or call the National Floo 000 | MAP REPOSITORY ier to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBULARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIED OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community a Flood Insurance Study sport for this justification. MAP SCALE 17 = 2000' 0 200 200 0 2 | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map Hatory table located in 0 To determine if flood insur- agent or call the National Proc 000 | MAP REPOSITORY ier to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIRS OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL | | | | |
| • M1.5 Re EFFECT For community map revision Map History table located in th To determine if flood insu- agent or call the National Proc 000 | MAP REPOSITORY Inter Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIS) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL Initiation of Revision of o | | | | |
| e M1.5 Re For community map revision Map History table located in th To determine if flood inace gent or call the National Proc 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | MAP REPOSITORY ier to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBULATY 4, 2005 IVE DATE(8) OF REVISION(8) TO THIS PANEL history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community the flood insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. margin is available in this community, contact your insurance direurance Program at (800) 838-8520. MAP SCALE 1" = 2000' <u>0</u> <u>409Per</u> <u>0</u> <u>409Per</u> <u>0</u> <u>409Per</u> <u>0</u> <u>409Per</u> <u>0</u> <u>600</u> <u>1200</u> METERS <u>0</u> <u>600</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1200</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>1000</u> <u>100</u> | | | | |
| • M1.5 | Image Percentage Image Percenage Image Percenage </td | | | | |
| • M1.5 For community map revision For community map revision To determine a fload insu- Boo Boo Boo Boo Boo Boo Boo Boo Boo | IMAP REPOSITORY ier to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEDOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEBRUARY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIGN OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL Initiation of Revision Revision Of Revision of Revision of Revision of Revisi | | | | |
| • M1.5 | IMA PEROSITORY Ter to Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FEDOD INSURANCE RATE MAP REBURANY 4, 2005 IVE DATEIS OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL INITE DATEIS INITE DATEIS OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL INITE DATEIS INITE DATEIS PANEL 10750 INITE DATE DATEIS INITE DATE DATE DATE DATE INITE DATE DATE DATE INITE DATE DATE DATE INITE DATE DATE DATE INITE DATE DATE INITE DATE DATE INITE DATE DATE INITE DATE | | | | |
| • M1.5 | IMAP REPOSITORY into Repository Listing on Index Map EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLODD INSURANCE RATE MAP FEDDINIES Interview of the full state of the community Interview of the full state of the community Interview of the full state of the full state of the community Interview of the community, context your insurance Interview of the community, | | | | |

APPENDIX B – HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants Custom Soil Resource Report for Routt Area, Colorado, Parts of Rio Blanco and Routt Counties; and Routt National Forest Area, Colorado, Parts of Grand, Jackson, Moffat, and Routt Counties





MAP LEGEND



MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Routt Area, Colorado, Parts of Rio Blanco and Routt Counties Survey Area Data: Version 13, Aug 23, 2023

Soil Survey Area: Routt National Forest Area, Colorado, Parts of Grand, Jackson, Moffat, and Routt Counties Survey Area Data: Version 7, Aug 23, 2023

Your area of interest (AOI) includes more than one soil survey area. These survey areas may have been mapped at different scales, with a different land use in mind, at different times, or at different levels of detail. This may result in map unit symbols, soil properties, and interpretations that do not completely agree across soil survey area boundaries.

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jul 2, 2021—Aug 25, 2021

Table—Hydrologic Soil Group

| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
|-----------------|---|--------|--------------|----------------|
| 2E | Routtskin loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes | С | 483.1 | 1.6% |
| 2F | Lintim loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes | С | 278.8 | 0.9% |
| 8F | Dunckley-Skyway complex, 15 to 65 percent slopes | С | 87.0 | 0.3% |
| 27A | Middlecreek loam, 1 to 5 percent slopes | C/D | 96.2 | 0.3% |
| 34E | Coutis fine sandy loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes | A | 33.4 | 0.1% |
| 34F | Coutis fine sandy loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes | A | 7.1 | 0.0% |
| 41C | Jerry loam, 1 to 12 percent slopes | С | 231.5 | 0.8% |
| 49A | Slocum loam, gravelly substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes | B/D | 64.9 | 0.2% |
| 50C | Lintim loam, 3 to 12 percent slopes | С | 702.5 | 2.3% |
| 50E | Lintim loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes | С | 135.0 | 0.4% |
| 50F | Routt loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes, very stony | С | 376.7 | 1.2% |
| 57A | Mooseflat sandy clay loam, 0 to 5 percent slopes | B/D | 177.4 | 0.6% |
| 66D | Foidel loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes | С | 102.7 | 0.3% |
| 66F | Foidel loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes | С | 36.8 | 0.1% |
| 68C | Rabbitears loam, 3 to 12 percent slopes | С | 193.1 | 0.6% |
| 68D | Rabbitears loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes | С | 406.9 | 1.3% |
| 70F | Skyway sandy loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes | В | 22.9 | 0.1% |
| 78D | Frisco, very stony-Dorpat complex, 3 to 25 percent slopes | С | 90.8 | 0.3% |
| 78F | Fulvance very gravelly sandy loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes, very stony | С | 1,027.8 | 3.4% |
| 80D | Foidel loam, 5 to 25 percent slopes | С | 940.2 | 3.1% |

| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
|-----------------|---|--------|--------------|----------------|
| 80F | Foidel loam, 20 to 50 percent slopes, cool | С | 143.3 | 0.5% |
| 83D | Routt loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes, very stony | С | 793.3 | 2.6% |
| 83F | Routt loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes, cool, very stony | С | 813.1 | 2.7% |
| 94 | Dorpat-Reddles complex, 30 to 65 percent slopes | С | 424.9 | 1.4% |
| 97 | Rogert, extremely stony- Foidel complex, 25 to 65 percent slopes | D | 316.0 | 1.0% |
| 103 | Foidel-Rock outcrop complex, 20 to 60 percent slopes | С | 1,183.3 | 3.9% |
| 104 | Foidel loam, 25 to 50 percent slopes | С | 249.6 | 0.8% |
| 109 | Dorpat loam, 3 to 20 percent slopes | С | 672.4 | 2.2% |
| 110 | Elkhead clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes | D | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| 111 | Evna, very stony-Lintim complex, 5 to 25 percent slopes | С | 1,747.5 | 5.8% |
| 111C | Slater-Routt complex, 5 to 25 percent slopes, very stony | С | 814.1 | 2.7% |
| 111D | Slater-Routt complex, 25 to 65 percent slopes, very stony | D | 381.3 | 1.3% |
| 111F | Evna, very stony-Lintim complex, 25 to 65 percent slopes | С | 90.5 | 0.3% |
| 115 | Gateview cobbly loam, 30 to 75 percent slopes, very bouldery | В | 93.3 | 0.3% |
| 116 | Gateview loam, 10 to 30 percent slopes, extremely stony | В | 49.6 | 0.2% |
| 117 | Handran, extremely bouldery-Venable complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes | B/D | 3.6 | 0.0% |
| 120 | Eckmanpark clay loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes | D | 123.1 | 0.4% |
| 124 | Vabem-Rabbitears complex, 25 to 65 percent slopes | D | 301.7 | 1.0% |
| 125 | Reddles loam, 3 to 20 percent slopes | С | 213.2 | 0.7% |

r

| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
|---------------------------|---|--------|--------------|----------------|
| 126 | Sanford very fine sandy loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes | С | 807.5 | 2.7% |
| 133 | Lintim loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes | С | 324.5 | 1.1% |
| 139 | Maciver stony loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes, extremely stony | с | 783.1 | 2.6% |
| 145 | Mine-Reddles complex, 3 to 25 percent slopes | С | 1,359.9 | 4.5% |
| 146 | Perfecto very stony sandy loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes | A | 732.0 | 2.4% |
| 149 | Rabbitears-Inchau complex, 3 to 25 percent slopes | С | 16.4 | 0.1% |
| 150 | Wander bouldery fine sandy loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes, very stony | В | 42.5 | 0.1% |
| 151 | Fulvance-Merino complex, 10 to 50 percent slopes, very stony | С | 5.7 | 0.0% |
| 156 | Egeria clay, 0 to 3 percent slopes | C/D | 487.7 | 1.6% |
| 158 | Tanella loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes | В | 7.3 | 0.0% |
| 160 | Northwater loam, 25 to 75 percent slopes | С | 733.9 | 2.4% |
| 165 | Northwater loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes | С | 660.0 | 2.2% |
| 191 | Perfecto very stony sandy loam, 25 to 65 percent slopes | A | 173.2 | 0.6% |
| 206 | Domepeak very gravelly loam, 15 to 50 percent slopes, very stony | С | 330.2 | 1.1% |
| AW | Venable, mucky peat, 0 to 3 percent slopes, frequently flooded | B/D | 189.9 | 0.6% |
| C10 | Impass silty clay loam, 3 to 12 percent slopes | С | 41.4 | 0.1% |
| GP | Pits, gravel | | 30.7 | 0.1% |
| MS | Teedown clay loam, 5 to 70 percent slopes | D | 128.8 | 0.4% |
| RRS | Rock outcrop-Rubble land complex | | 12.8 | 0.0% |
| W | Water | | 200.8 | 0.7% |
| Subtotals for Soil Surve | ey Area | | 20,977.0 | 69.4% |
| Totals for Area of Intere | st | | 30,220.9 | 100.0% |

_

| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
|-----------------|--|--------|--------------|----------------|
| 11 | Mord family stony loam, 5 to 20 percent slopes, very stony | с | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| 28 | Haviland-Hollandlake families, complex, 10 to 40 percent slopes, landslides | С | 0.4 | 0.0% |
| 47 | Grenadier taxadjunct cobbly loam, 10 to 40 percent slopes | В | 3,826.5 | 12.7% |
| 101A | Finn and Tepete families, 0 to 15 percent slopes | C/D | 94.0 | 0.3% |
| 155A | Libeg-Youga-Bywell families, association, 0 to 20 percent slopes | С | 70.6 | 0.2% |
| 210B | Gateway-Cowood families, association, 10 to 40 percent slopes, extremely stony | D | 2.6 | 0.0% |
| 232C | Mord family stony loam, 15 to 40 percent slopes | С | 184.5 | 0.6% |
| 249B | Frisco-Tamarron complex, 10 to 40 percent slopes | С | 423.9 | 1.4% |
| 255C | Waybe family-Gothic association, 20 to 50 percent slopes | D | 277.5 | 0.9% |
| 270C | Agneston family gravelly sandy loam, landslide, 30 to 60 percent slopes, very stony | С | 1.1 | 0.0% |
| 609B | Hollandlake-Jumpstart families, complex, 15 to 40 percent slopes, landslides | С | 3,121.0 | 10.3% |
| 700C | Como-Agneston family- Legault family association, 30 to 60 percent slopes, extremely stony | A | 258.6 | 0.9% |
| 710B | Agneston-Legault families, association, 10 to 40 percent slopes, extremely stony | С | 460.3 | 1.5% |
| 712C | Rogert-Bowen association, 20 to 55 percent slopes, extremely stony | D | 15.2 | 0.1% |
| 740A | Gorpas gravelly loam, 1 to 15 percent slopes | D | 486.8 | 1.6% |
| w | Water | | 19.7 | 0.1% |

| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------------|----------------|
| Subtotals for Soil Survey Area | | | 9,242.7 | 30.6% |
| Totals for Area of Interest | | | 30,220.9 | 100.0% |

Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf