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## 1. Introduction

APEX Consulting Engineers, LLC prepared this Traffic Impact Study (Study) for the proposed Sanders Gravel Pit (Project), located at 26650 Wheeler Lane, Routt County, CO, accessing County Road 129 from an existing access west of US Forest Service Trail 1180. The following sections describe the Project, traffic volumes, auxiliary turn lane assessments, access spacing, and sight distance evaluation for this intersection.

## 2. Project Location and Description

As shown in Figure 1, the proposed Project will be located north of Steamboat Springs, along Routt County Road 129. The Project will include a small gravel pit which will operate year-round with seasonal fluctuations to their production rate. The production rate is expected to be lowest from November to March. The site is expected to produce approximately`67,000 tons per year from 2022 to 2033.

The site is expected to go through two production phases before closure. The first phase is from 2022 through 2027 while the second phase is from 2028 to 2033 . Although the production of the gravel pit will likely decrease during phase two, this report will assume the production stays constant for both phases. A detailed Gravel Pit \& Mining Production Questionnaire, completed by the operator, is attached in Appendix A.

Figure 1 - Site Location Map


The existing Project extents and site access location and adjacent private driveways are shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2 - Project Site Access Locations and Adjacent Access Points


## 3. Trip Generation \& Distribution

### 3.1 Trip Generation

The ITE Trip Generation Manual does not include a land use code and trip data for gravel pit operations. Project trips were estimated from the Gravel Pit \& Mining Production Questionnaire provided by the operator. The Project is expected to have two production periods throughout the year. Trip Generation is broken into low production and high production to accurately model the Project as trip distribution is expected to differ from high and low production.

Traffic engineering standards require conversion from trucks to passenger car equivalents (PCE). The following table shows the Project peak hour traffic volume calculation for the final Project phase.

Project Traffic Volume Calculations are summarized in Tables 1, 2 and 3 as passenger car equivalent vehicles per hour (PCE-VPH). Complete calculations are provided in Appendix C. The traffic volumes in the table represent the estimated number of passenger car equivalents per hour of operation. Note that all staff will arrive within the same hour and not staggered throughout the day. Additionally, the number of trips per hour calculation, rounds up to the next integer to be conservative in the peak hour calculations. Additional trips to the site for maintenance, deliveries, fueling, etc. are assumed to occur outside of the peak hour and are not considered in the peak hour trip generation for the Project.

Table 1 - Low Production Project Peak Hour Traffic Volumes (PCE-VPH)

| Traffic Type | Period | AM | PM | ADT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff | Entry | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Trucks | Entry | - | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | - | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium Trucks | Entry | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exit | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2 - Average Production Project Peak Hour Traffic Volumes (PCE-VPH)

| Traffic Type | Period | AM | PM | ADT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff | Entry | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 0 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Small Trucks | Entry | - | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | - | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Medium Trucks | Entry | 2 | 2 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exit | 2 | 2 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 8 | 38 |

Table 3 - High Production Project Peak Hour Traffic Volumes (PCE-VPH)

| Traffic Type | Period | AM | PM | ADT |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff | Entry | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Exit | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Small Trucks | Entry | - | - | 2 |
|  | Exit | - | - | 2 |
| Medium Trucks | Entry | 4 | 4 | 40 |
|  | Exit | 4 | 4 | 40 |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 2}$ |

## 4. Trip Distribution \& Assignment

### 4.1 Determination of Trip Distribution

The Project site had been operating as a gravel pit for a short period of time. Project trip distribution for the gravel pit is assigned to the Project accesses from the Gravel Pit \& Mining Production Questionnaire provided by the owner. The detailed Project trip distribution is shown in Figure 3. Note that the Lin each figure represents the low production conditions, the A represents average production, and the H represents high production conditions.

## Figure 3 - Project Trip Distribution



### 4.2 Assignment of Project Traffic

Project traffic determined from the trip generation calculation is assigned to the existing traffic network using the distributions from Figure 3. The resulting Project trip assignment is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4 - Project Peak Hour Trip Assignment (PCE VPH)


## 5. Existing \& Future Traffic Volumes

Peak hour intersection turning movement counts were conducted at Routt County Road 129 \& Project Access on October 26, 2021.

Peak seasonal adjustment of 1.41 was used for existing through volumes for the average and high productivity period on Routt County Road 129 to account for the traffic counts being completed in October. The low productivity period will not use a seasonal adjustment factor.

Table 4 shows the monthly peak seasonal adjustments using a similar roadway with a CDOT continuous counting station.

Table 4 - Peak Season Adjustment Factors by Month
Seasonal Adjustments
CDOT Count Station ID 15: SH 040A Traffic Data - South of Steamboat Springs

| STATION ID | CAL YR | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209 | 2022 | 8680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 209 | 2021 | 8443 | 8597 | 9089 | 7860 | 9578 | 12318 | 14291 | 11914 | 11917 | 9499 | 8413 | 8135 |
| 209 | 2020 | 8857 | 8695 | 6700 | 4600 | 7169 | 10503 | 12590 | 13276 | 11639 | 9694 | 7485 | 7795 |
| 209 | 2019 | 8812 | 9299 | 8753 | 7009 | 8129 | 10730 | 12616 | 11968 | 11242 | 8719 | 7819 | 8459 |
| 209 | 2018 | 8575 | 9001 | 9015 | 7557 | 7850 | 9745 | 11587 | 11847 | 10351 | 8610 | 7822 | 8586 |
| 209 | 2017 | 8017 | 8765 | 8710 | 7364 | 8539 | 11665 | 13180 | 12078 | 11207 | 9264 | 7887 | 8392 |
| 209 | 2016 | 7869 | 9108 | 8460 | 6141 | 8311 | 11309 | 12262 | 10855 | 9629 | 7910 | 7798 | 7789 |
| 209 | 2015 | 7550 | 8014 | 8289 | 7097 | 7649 |  |  |  |  | 8561 | 6975 | 7153 |
| 209 | 2014 | 7111 | 7438 | 7711 | 6345 | 7642 | 10334 | 11791 | 10689 | 9498 | 7942 | 6573 | 7342 |
| 209 | 2013 | 6871 | 7516 | 7530 | 6086 | 7470 | 9974 | 11357 | 10760 | 9185 | 7615 | 6772 | 7186 |
| 209 | 2012 | 6781 | 6915 | 7374 | 6460 | 7610 | 9132 | 8425 | 9558 | 9117 | 7539 | 6714 | 6362 |
| 209 | 2011 | 6756 | 6827 | 7196 | 5848 | 6706 | 9242 | 10800 | 10456 | 9277 | 7561 | 6365 | 6987 |
| 209 | 2010 | 7113 | 7043 | 7803 | 6244 | 7075 | 9255 | 11036 | 10276 | 9043 | 7542 | 6390 | 6934 |
| 209 | 2009 | 7671 | 7760 | 7801 | 6806 | 7966 | 10070 | 11558 | 10723 | 9660 | 7792 | 6726 | 7022 |
| 209 | 2008 | 7817 | 7709 | 8114 | 7001 | 7978 | 10535 | 11974 | 11263 | 9994 | 9028 | 7397 | 7738 |
| 209 | 2007 | 7509 | 7507 | 7996 | 6906 | 8758 | 10925 | 12053 | 11647 | 10265 | 8945 | 7995 | 7605 |
| 209 | 2006 | 7188 | 7538 | 7705 | 6702 | 8058 | 10710 | 11964 | 10327 | 9533 | 8254 | 7314 | 7651 |
| 209 | 2005 | 7290 | 7482 | 7506 | 6373 | 7782 | 10187 | 11770 | 10804 | 9652 | 8066 | 7009 | 7217 |
| 209 | 2004 | 7041 | 7164 | 7586 | 6625 | 7684 | 10147 | 11600 | 10503 | 9654 | 8169 | 7267 | 7731 |
| 209 | 2003 | 7134 | 6870 | 7061 | 6473 | 7730 | 10283 | 11429 | 10774 | 9412 | 8649 | 6444 | 7254 |
| Average |  | 7,654 | 7,855 | 7,916 | 6,605 | 7,878 | 10,392 | 11,794 | 11,095 | 10,015 | 8,387 | 7,219 | 7,544 |
| \% of highest month |  | 65\% | 67\% | 67\% | 56\% | 67\% | 88\% | 100\% | 94\% | 85\% | 71\% | 61\% | 64\% |
| Peak Season Factor |  | 1.54 | 1.50 | 1.49 | 1.79 | 1.50 | 1.13 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 1.18 | $1.41)$ | 1.63 | 1.56 |

The existing peak hour traffic, adjusted for peak season, is represented in Figure 5. Count summaries are included in Appendix B.

Sanders Gravel Pit, Routt County, CO
Page | 7
Traffic Impact Study

Figure 5 - Existing Peak Hour Traffic adjusted for Peak Season
(From counts 8/26/2021)


## 6. Study Years Traffic Volumes

Future background traffic is determined in this section.

The study years are 2022 and 2033. Routt County Road 129 is assumed to have a similar growth pattern as Highway 40 in Steamboat Springs. The Online Transportation Information System (OTIS) was used to find ADT values for 2019 counts \& 2045 projected counts which are the basis for the following road segment growth factors:

Table 5 - Road Segment Growth

| Road | Segment | ADT |  | Period <br> Growth <br> Factor | Avg. Annual Growth Rate | 1 - year growth factor (2021-2022) | 12 - year growth factor (2021-2033) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2020 | 2045 |  |  |  |  |
| SH 40 | Both Sides | 9,200 | 11,155 | 1.213 | 0.78 | 1.008 | 1.098 |

These growth factors were used to determine future peak hour background traffic volumes on Routt County Road 129.

## 7. Study Period Volumes

Figures 6 and 8 demonstrate the future background traffic volumes by adjusting the traffic in Figure 5 with the growth factors from Table 3. Figures 7 and 9 show total peak hour traffic which consist of future background traffic with Project traffic.

Figure 6 - Background Peak Hour Future Traffic (Year 2022)


Figure 7 -Total Peak Hour Future Traffic (Year 2022 PCE VPH)


Figures 8 and 9 show the predicted traffic volumes for year 2033.
Figure 8 - Background Peak Hour Future Traffic (Year 2033)


Figure 9 - Total Peak Hour Future Traffic (Year 2033 PCE VPH)


## 8. Auxiliary Turn Lane Evaluation

Routt County Road 129 is a north south road with milepost markings increasing from south to north and matches the CDOT classification of R-B (Rural Highway).

The need for auxiliary lanes was based on the turn lane warrants listed in the SHAC for roadways classified as R-B. The following table shows the data and criteria necessary to identify the need for exclusive right-turn and left-turn deceleration lanes at the intersections in the Study area.

Note that all turning volumes are in terms of Passenger Car Equivalents (PCE).

## Table 6 - Comparison of Turning Volumes to Turn Lane Requirements

$\left.$| Routt County Road 129 \& Project Access Year 2033 Condition |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Speed Limit = 45 mph |  |  |  |$\quad$| CDOT Auxiliary Lane |
| :---: |
| Requirements |$\quad$| Lane |
| :---: |
| Required? | \right\rvert\,

Auxiliary turn lanes are not required for this Project.

## 9. Intersection Sight Distance

Routt County Road 129 is a 2-lane roadway with a posted speed limit of 45 mph with a varying grade from $0 \%$ up to $7 \%$. Although most of the roadway has a grade less than $3 \%$, a reduction in sight distance will be used for the section of road south of the access since it is the only section with grades greater than $3 \%$. The study will not use increases in sight distance that are allowed for grades greater than $3 \%$. The sight distance analysis is based on a single unit truck.

The required sight distance for a vehicle traveling on the highway toward the access is 400 feet for a 45 mph speed limit (from Table 4-1 SHAC). The required sight distance with the adjustment factor is 320 feet. The observed sight distance is greater than 400 feet while travelling north and south to the access. Refer to Images 1 through 4.

Note that the sight distance along the south side of the access is not maintained for the entirety of the roadway. Recommendations on how to improve the existing access are in the next section of this report.

Image 1 - Looking North Along Routt County Road 129


## Image 2 - Looking South Along Routt County Road 129



The expected traffic includes less than two multi-unit truck trips per day so the sight distance will use single unit trucks for the evaluation. The required sight distance for single unit trucks entering the roadway with a posted speed limit of 45 mph is 585 feet per SHAC, Table $4-2$. The required sight distance with the adjustment factor is 468 feet. The observed sight distance is greater than 585 feet in both directions, shown in Images 3 and 4.

## Image 3 - Looking North from Project Access



Image 4 - Looking South from Project Access


## 10. Summary and Recommendations

- The proposed Project will increase the traffic volumes during peak hours by 11 AM trips and 15 PM trips. Note that these volumes are in Passenger Car Equivalents (PCE).
- Auxiliary turn lanes are not required at the Project Access.
- The tall brush/vegetation circled in Image 1 , on the east side of the road and within the right of way, for a distance $400^{\prime}$ south of the access, should be cleared to provide a wider view of the access road for northbound traffic.
- Installation of MUTCD sign W8-6 (TURCK CROSSING) or W11-10 (graphic of truck entering) south of the Project Access is recommended to improve the safety of the intersection by providing northbound traffic notice of the upcoming intersection. The location of the sign should be along the section of roadway where sight distance is not maintained, which is roughly 500 feet from the access.


## Gravel Pit \& Mining Production Questionnaire

Please provide as much information as possible and attach appropriate documents.

Project Name: Sanders Gravel Pit
Project Location/Address: Steamboat Springs, Co 80477
Company Name: Tara Sanders

Person Completing this Form:
$\frac{\text { Legal Description }}{18 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{R} 85 \mathrm{~W}, 533 \text { in Pout }}$
Parcel $\# 924331003$

Name:Tony $\omega_{a} / d$ ron
Title: Project Consultant Phone Number: 303-263-6902 Date: $9 / 2 \mathrm{lol} 21$ Amended 4/20/22

## Overall Production Schedule

1. How many different production phases will occur before pit closes? $\qquad$
2. What are the years and production amounts?

Phase
Range of Years
Production Amount (Tons per Year)
1 $\qquad$ thru 2027

22078 thru 2033
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

3 $\qquad$ thru $\qquad$

## Please complete one of the following pages of detailed information for each Phase

## Information from Similar Sites

Please provide any information or data from similar sites that could be used to support the information on these data sheets.
Due to site location, weather will likely be a limiting factor for production'from November thru march/ April. Therefore, most production will occur from April thur October.

## Detailed Information - Phase 1

Production Years: 2022 thru $\qquad$

Limiting Factor for annual production (permit, etc): (please attach appropriate document if available)

Does the Production Rate vary over the course of the year:

If so, provide the following information for three possible production periods

## Months of the year for each

 period:
## Daily Trip Generation

Number of on-site workers per day:
On-site worker arrival time (s):
On-site worker departure time (s):
Number of other site visits per day (Maintenance, deliveries, fueling, customers, supervisors ,etc)

Number of large dump trucks per day (greater than 40-ft long)

Number of medium dump trucks per day (between $20-\mathrm{ft} \& 40$-ft long)

Number of small trucks per day (less than 20-ft long)

Number of Work days per week
Number of Work hours per day

## Trip Distribution

\% of trips to/from North
\% of trips to/from South
\% of trips to/from East
\% of trips to/from West

## Market conditions / Demand / Weather

Yes, production will mostly occur from $\frac{\text { April-Dctober due to weather constraints }}{\text { (slow pack) and construction Season }}$ $\qquad$ If not, put your answers in the average column

Production Periods



## Detailed Information - Phase 2

Production Years: 2028 thru 2033

Limiting Factor for annual production (permit, etc): (please attach appropriate document if available)

Does the Production Rate vary over the course of the year:

If so, provide the following information for three possible production periods

## Months of the year for each

 period:
## Daily Trip Generation

Number of on-site workers per day: On-site worker arrival time (s): Onsite worker departure time (s):

Number of other site visits per day (Maintenance, deliveries, fueling, customers, supervisors ,etc)

Number of large dump trucks per day (greater than 40-ft long)

Number of medium dump trucks per day (between $20-\mathrm{ft} \& 40$-ft long)

Number of small trucks per day (less than 20-ft long)

Number of Work days per week
Number of Work hours per day

## Trip Distribution

\% of trips to/from North
\% of trips to/from South
\% of trips to/from East
\% of trips to/from West

## Limited reserves Market conditions, demand, weather

 Yes, production will mostly occur from April to A Caber due to weather constraints and If not, put your answers in the average columnProduction Periods

| Low | Average |
| :---: | :---: | High



$$
1
$$



N/A


N/A

$$
0
$$




PREX CONSULTING ENGINEERS
CIIL ENGINEERS•MANAGEMENT•DEVELOPMEN
CIVIL ENGINEERS - MANAGEMENT•DEVELOPMENT
Counted By: $\qquad$
Count Date:
Intersection 1 - Project Access \& Routt County Rd 129

|  |  | Project Access - (EB) |  |  | Project Access - (WB) |  |  | Routt County Rd 129-(NB) |  |  | Routt County Rd 129-(SB) |  |  | Sums |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
|  | 7:00 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 18 | 0 | 0 | 20 | - | 38 |
|  | 7:15 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 10 | 0 | 0 | 22 | - | 32 |
|  | 7:30 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 19 | 0 | 0 | 49 | - | 68 |
|  | 7:45 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 22 | 3 | 1 | 26 | - | 52 |
|  | 8:00 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 16 | 0 | 1 | 31 | - | 48 |
|  | 8:15 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 16 | 0 | 0 | 23 | - | 41 |
|  | 8:30 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 25 | 0 | 0 | 22 | - | 49 |
|  | 8:45 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 12 | 0 | 0 | 17 | - | 29 |
|  | Peak <br> Sums | - | - | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 73 | 3 | 2 | 129 | - | 209 |
|  |  | - |  |  | 2 |  |  | 76 |  |  | 131 |  |  |  |
| $\sum$ | 16:00 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 28 | 0 | 0 | 17 | - | 45 |
|  | 16:15 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 24 | 0 | 0 | 22 | - | 46 |
|  | 16:30 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | - | 38 |
|  | 16:45 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 26 | 1 | 2 | 14 | - | 45 |
|  | 17:00 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 33 | 0 | 0 | 16 | - | 51 |
|  | 17:15 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 28 | 1 | 0 | 11 | - | 40 |
|  | 17:30 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 29 | 0 | 0 | 12 | - | 41 |
|  | 17:45 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 25 | 0 | 0 | 6 | - | 31 |
|  | Peak | - | - | - | 4 | - | 0 | - | 102 | 1 | 2 | 71 | - | 180 |
|  | Sums | - |  |  | 4 |  |  | 103 |  |  | 73 |  |  |  |



## Low Production Project Average Daily Traffic (ADT) Calculations Hours of Operation 8:00 am -4:00 pm

|  |  | Purpose | Workers | Other Visits | Material Handling | Total Peak Hour Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Type |  | Passenger Vehicle | Small Trucks <20 ft long | Medium Trucks 20 ft - 40 ft long |  |
|  | Passenger Car Equivalent Factor |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | Number of Vehicles / Day |  | 1 | 1 | N/A |  |
|  | PCE Number of Vehicles / Day |  | 1 | 1 | N/A |  |
|  | Trucking Hours / Day |  | N/A | 8 | 8 |  |
|  | PCE Number of Vehicles / Hour |  | 1 | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | PCE Number of Trips / Hour |  | 1 | - | - |  |
|  | AM Peak Period Trip (2 trips/veh) | PCE in | 1 | - | - | 1 |
|  |  | PCE out | 0 | - | - | 0 |
|  | PM Peak Period Trips (2 trips/veh) | PCE in | 0 | - | - | 0 |
|  |  | PCE out | 1 | - | - | 1 |

## Average Production Project Traffic Volume Calculations Hours of Operation 7:00 am -5:00 pm

|  |  | Purpose | Workers | Other Visits | Material Handling | Total Peak Hour Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Type |  | Passenger Vehicle | Small Trucks <20 ft long | Medium Trucks >40 ft long |  |
|  | Passenger Car Equivalent Factor |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 든은000030 | Number of Vehicles / Day |  | 4 | 1 | 7 |  |
|  | PCE Number of Vehicles / Day |  | 4 | 1 | 14 |  |
|  | Trucking Hours / Day |  | N/A | 10 | 10 |  |
|  | PCE Number of Vehicles / Hour |  | 4 | N/A | 2 |  |
|  | PCE Number of Trips / Hour |  | 4 | - | 4 |  |
|  | AM Peak Period Trip (2 trips/veh) | PCE in | 0 | - | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | PCE out | 0 | - | 2 | 2 |
|  | PM Peak Period Trips (2 trips/veh) | PCE in | 0 | - | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | PCE out | 4 | - | 2 | 6 |

Appendix C - Trip Generation
High Production Project Traffic Volume Calculations
Hours of Operation 7:00 am -5:00 pm

|  |  | Purpose | Workers | Other Visits | Material Handling | Total Peak Hour Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Type |  | Passenger Vehicle | Small Trucks <20 ft long | Medium Trucks >40 ft long |  |
|  | Passenger Car Equivalent Factor |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | Number of Vehicles / Day |  | 4 | 2 | 20 |  |
|  | PCE Number of Vehicles / Day |  | 4 | 2 | 40 |  |
|  | Trucking Hours / Day |  | N/A | 10 | 10 |  |
|  | PCE Number of Vehicles / Hour |  | 4 | N/A | 4 |  |
|  | PCE Number of Trips / Hour |  | 4 | - | 8 |  |
|  | AM Peak Period Trip (2 trips/veh) | PCE in | 0 | - | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | PCE out | 0 | - | 4 | 4 |
|  | PM Peak Period Trips (2 trips/veh) | PCE in | 0 | - | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | PCE out | 4 | - | 4 | 8 |

